

tender to the relatives of Mrs Davies and Councillor Little our sincere sympathy in their bereavement.

The new Secretary occupies the position so long and worthily held by Mr Eustace Davies since the inception of the League. He takes this opportunity to recall the regret with which the members at their last annual meeting learned from Mr Davies that he wished for business and other reasons to hand over these official duties to a successor. A remarkable tribute was paid to him for his services, and a framed photograph of the League's Executive was presented to him in token of this regard. It is in the fact that Mr Davies continues undiminished his activity and interest in the work that the new Secretary faces his task with a light heart.

PORTSMOUTH LEAGUE: S. R. Cole, 165 Francis Avenue, Southsea.

An item held over from last month is the resolution unanimously adopted on 9th June by the Delegate Council of the Portsmouth Labour Party, representative of the three Divisional Labour Parties and affiliated bodies, protesting against the repeal of the Land Value Tax.

The *Evening News* gave report of the address on the Taxation of Land Values by Mr James McGuigan at a meeting of the St. Thomas Ward Labour Party on 19th July.

BRISTOL LEAGUE: E. T. Baggs, Hon. Secretary, 29 Ponsford Road, Knowle, Bristol 4.

The discussion class is now discontinued during the holiday months but will re-open on 30th August at 8 Abbey Road, Westbury-on-Trym for the purpose of arranging the Winter Programme and discussing other matters affecting the League.

The President, Mr E. J. Brierley, continues his editorship of the *Bristol Guardian and Gazette*. His clear cut analysis of present events is meeting the approval of readers as is shown by the steady increase in circulation. It is hoped that an enlarged paper which is contemplated, may result in still further sales.

HIGHLAND LEAGUE: I. Mackenzie, Hon. Secretary, The Arcade, Inverness.

In order to keep in touch with members in different districts the Secretary visited the following: Strathconon, 27th June; Brodie and Glenferness, 29th June; Cawdor and Ardension, 7th July; Strathpeffer and Marybank, 10th July; Invergordon and Dingwall, 11th July; and Farr and Aberarder, 21st July.

The *Ross-shire Journal* of 20th July published a long and informing article, issued by the League and written by the Rev Mervyn J. Stewart ("M.J.S.") on Revenue Systems in Celtic and Feudal Times. The author, who is himself descended from Alexander Mackenzie III, of Garloch, showed in this writing the fruits of much research into the old land charges and hereditary dues, that the landowners have since been able to repudiate, appropriating the rent of land to themselves.

The egg difficulty is a very real difficulty, and it may be most reasonably summed up in this way: There are in Lancashire more hens than people, and in Lancashire the producers most vehemently demand that the import of foreign eggs, and particularly Chinese liquid eggs, should be instantly prohibited. At the same time the Lancashire producers most vehemently demand that the export of textiles to China should be considerably increased, and that the Chinaman should buy from us and pay for all the increased production. That is one example of the many problems that affect us to-day.—The Minister of Agriculture, Mr Elliot, in the House of Commons, 9th June.

taxes to pay until the country around them had been well settled.

The destruction of speculative land values would tend to diffuse population where it is too dense, to concentrate it where it is too sparse, and to substitute for the tenement house, houses surrounded by gardens.

With the opening up of unsettled areas labour would cease competing with itself for employment, employers would everywhere be competing for labourers, and wages would rise to the fair earnings of labour. Demand would keep pace with supply, and supply with demand; trade would increase in every direction, and wealth augment on every hand.

(The foregoing excellent statement of the case for Land-Value Taxation is reproduced from the *Annual Report of the Scottish League of Young Liberals*.)

WHY TAX LAND VALUES?

The nineteenth and twentieth centuries have been marked by a prodigious increase in wealth-producing power. The utilization of steam and electricity, the introduction of labour-saving machinery, the greater subdivision and grander scale of production, the wonderful facilitation of exchanges, have multiplied enormously the effectiveness of labour.

It was expected that inventions would have lightened the toil and improved the condition of the labourer, and that real poverty would have become a thing of the past, but on the contrary it has been found neither to have lessened the toil of those who most need respite, nor to have brought plenty to the poor.

It is true that wealth has been greatly increased and that the average of comfort, leisure and refinement has been raised, but these gains are not general. In these the lowest class do not proportionately share. This association of poverty with progress is the great enigma of our time.

Land, Labour and Capital are the three factors of production. The term "land" includes all natural opportunities or forces, the term "labour" all human exertion, and the term "capital" all wealth and credit used to produce more wealth. In return to these three factors is the whole produce distributed. To landowners, rent; to human exertion (physical or mental), wages; and to capital, interest.

There must be land before labour can be exerted, and labour must be exerted before capital can be produced. Labour is the active and initial force, and labour is therefore the employer of capital. Labour can be exerted only upon land, and therefore land is the field and material of labour.

Place 100 men on an island from which there is no escape, and whether you make one of these men the absolute owner of the soil, or absolute owner of the other 99 men makes no difference, for by simply refusing them permission to work upon the island they would be starved.

If one man can command the land upon which others must labour, he can appropriate the produce of their labour as the price of his permission to labour.

The equal right of all men to the use of land is as clear as their equal right to breathe the air. We cannot suppose that some men have a right to be in this world and others have no right. No man can claim an exclusive right to land itself. He is only entitled to appropriate improvements added by him to the land.

The Liberal Party does not propose either to purchase or to confiscate private property in land. The first would be unjust, the second needless. It is only necessary to appropriate land values by taxation.

Tracing out the cause of the unequal distribution of wealth which appears in all civilized countries, with a constant tendency to greater and greater inequality as material progress goes on, we have found it in the fact that, as civilization advances, the ownership of land, now in private hands, gives a greater and greater power of appropriating the wealth produced by labour and capital.

Thus, to relieve labour and capital from all taxation, direct and indirect, and to throw the burden upon rent, would be, as far as it went, to counteract this tendency to inequality, and, if it went so far as to take in taxation the whole of rent, the cause of inequality would be totally destroyed. Rent, instead of causing inequality, as now, would then promote equality. Labour and capital would then receive the whole produce, minus that portion taken by the State in the taxation of land values, which, being applied to public purposes, would be equally distributed in public benefits.

The farmer would be a great gainer by the substitution of a tax on land value for his other taxes, for in agricultural districts land values are comparatively small, but in the towns and cities are very high. In sparsely-settled districts there would be hardly any taxes at all for the farmer to pay. Acre for acre, the improved and cultivated farm, with its buildings, fences, crops and stock, could be taxed no more than unused land of equal quality. The result would be that speculative values would be kept down, and that cultivated and improved farms would have no

(Continued on previous column.)