CLUE TO THE ECONOMIC Labyrinth.*

The author of this very big book, of some 540 pages, is apparently a man of considerable leisure who has done much reading, if but little real thinking, and who, from a more or less superficial observation of some of the complex facts of our present social life, and by a process of reasoning peculiar to himself, has arrived at certain conclusions, in which he apparently has the utmost confidence. He is evidently also inspired by the most laudable ambition to be a leader and instructor of his fellow-men. However, as our author should by this time have realised, to play such a part something more is requisite than desire or the most enthusiastic convictions. For he has already written to all sorts and conditions of men, including Henry George, Professor Huxley, the two Chairmen of the Co-operative Wholesale Societies of Great Britain, yet nobody seems to have been impressed by his views, or to have taken much notice of his somewhat wordy effusions, many of which help to fill up the pages of this big book. He has also made strenuous appeals to social reformers of almost every school of thought, and with the same want of success; and he betrays the fact that he is specially cross, almost peevishly so, with Australian Single Taxers in particular, because they refuse to accept him at his own valuation, or to endorse certain conclusions merely because he assures them they are correct; they, too, appear to have listened, but to have remained unconvinced. Nor is the reason of Mr. Flursheim's failure to convince anybody far to seek. With certain facts of the common property of every social reformer, Mr. Flursheim blends the most obvious fallacies, and earnestly defends them by such inconsequential, illogical reasoning as cannot possibly deceive anybody who looks beyond the words for the ideas they are intended to convey.

One example will suffice. On page 384 Mr. Flursheim writes as follows:

"There can be no doubt that without the restoration of mother earth to her children, without the liberation of land from the fetters of private monopoly, no reform of any kind can bestow lasting benefits on the masses, but, as in the past, must eventuate in the greater power and wealth of the few, contrasted with the misery and dependence of the many."

A conclusion which every land reformer will most cordially endorse. Mr. Flursheim, however, immediately continues—"Still that time has not yet come, and certainly we have to look for other causes if we want to explain the extraordinary spectacle we daily witness: poverty caused by progress." The meaning of this passage is not quite clear to us. But if it means, as the words seem to us to imply, that because the time for "the restoration of mother earth to her children has not yet come," that therefore "we have to look for other causes" to account for the continuance of poverty in the midst of plenty: we can only smile at such inconsequential reasoning. However, whatever he may mean, he continues—

"...the bias of preconceived ideas and of inductive reasoning [1] has tremendous influence. In my case I had been over ten years in the van of Georgism;"
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† Of the bias of preconceived ideas Mr. Flursheim's book is one long testimony. But we trust he will pardon us expressing the doubt, awakened by the perusal of this and other similar passages, as well as by the peculiar and characteristic method of reasoning he invariably adopts. Whether Mr. Flursheim has yet any real idea in meaning and processes of inductive reasoning, to their admitted bias in favour of which he seems to regard some eminent Single Taxers as the unfortunate martyrs or victims.

‡ We think it necessary to point out here that Mr. Flursheim's idea of what it is to be "in the van of Georgism" and our own differ exceedingly.

Before I awakened to the part played by money in the social problem."

And the following is Mr. Flursheim's own account of what enlightened his ignorance—

"The veil began to fall at Topolobampo, a socialist colony founded by Americans on the shores of the Gulf of California, in Mexico. I had gone there full of the predominant part played by land in the economic process, and I left penetrated with the importance of the money problem. Land was easily obtainable for half a dollar an acre; but money was so scarce that I was offered half the crop on the settlers' land if I only provided money to buy seed and machinery."

Italics are ours. Here, then, is the central fact to which Mr. Flursheim owes his Clue to the Economic Labyrinth he so laboriously creates in his book; to which he owes his conviction of the supreme importance of the money question. But surely even Mr. Flursheim should recognise that what his poor settlers wanted was, not money, but seed and machinery, for which they, by hypothesis, had nothing marketable to offer in exchange. It was the want of commodities, not of money, that impelled them to the trouble and charge of borrowing. If his settlers had possessed stocks of any marketable commodity—hides, tallow, bark, or what not, but no money, they would, by exchange, soon have secured the things they were in need of. Social life, consisting as it does of association based upon the reciprocal exchange of services, either direct or by means of the exchange of commodities, forms the basis of the world-wide commerce of the present day. Those who desire to share in its advantages must be prepared to render equivalent counter services. Mr. Flursheim's illustration or experience leaves us "penetrated with the importance" of such exchange of services, or of exchange generally, in the economic process. If otherwise unable to do so, we can well understand that his settlers would be quite willing to offer half of their crops on their land, whatever these may have been worth, for the means of participating in its benefits. But manifestly the command of any stock of marketable commodities would have served their purpose, even if not the special commodity in use as money. Moreover, if in every civilised community those whose labours render all the services, and produce all the commodities, are deprived of the larger portion of the fruits of their industry without equivalent counter services, then, as our readers are well aware, it is not in the money question that we have to seek the causes of such legalised iniquity.

Let us somewhat vary the hypothesis. Suppose that Mr. Flursheim's settlers had been possessed of ample supplies of food, seed, tools, machinery, and money; and had offered our philosopher and economist half the crops then on their land if only he would secure them an ample supply of slaves to do all the necessary work. Then, we presume, in accordance with his former reasoning, this would be saviour and instructor of his fellows would have returned "penetrated with the importance" of the predominant part played by labour in the economic process, but not the slavery problem; and we might have been afflicted with another learned book, filled with quotations from innumerable obscure sources, pointing to slavery as the clue to the economic labyrinth, and advocating the re-establishment of this time-honoured social institution.

After the above example of our author's powers of reasoning, our readers may not be surprised to learn that, besides claiming to be "enthusiastic and eager for land reform," Mr. Flursheim is also a bi-metalist, a protectionist, and, above all, a money reformer.

Into the sacred mysteries of his money reform we shall not venture to enter, though we must admit that his views on the money question seem to us as hazy as his views on the land question; nay, far more hazy, for these latter he has borrowed from other and clearer thinkers. Despite his
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Choice matter, well printed, tastefully bound, and extraordinarily cheap: such is the verdict we must pass on the productions of the Simple Life Press, the first fruits of which are now on the table before us. The series opens with an excellent little volume by Ernest Crosby, on "Tolstoy and his Message," which will be read both with pleasure and instruction by every admirer of Tolstoy and of Crosby. The second is an admirable selection of the short stories, or rather modern fables, from the pen of our esteemed co-worker, Bolton Hall, some of which have already appeared in our columns, and which we are heartily glad to welcome in book form. The moral of most of them is indicated in the title of the book. The third is an admirable reprint of the Rubaiyat of Omar Khayyam, the fascination of which has probably been experienced by many of our readers. H. D. Thoreau's well-known essay "On the duty of civil disobedience" forms the contents of the fourth of these little volumes, and will, we are sure, be welcomed by thousands of earnest reformers. Unfortunately, it is not yet so well known on this side of the water as it deserves to be, and its publication in such an attractive form and at such a low price should help to popularise it amongst the political thinkers of this country. The two following sentences give the key-note of its leading thought:—"I think that we should be men first, and subjects afterwards. It is not desirable to cultivate a respect for the few, so much as for the right." Truths very necessary to be emphasised at the present time, even though somewhat long-winded by Aristotle, who taught us that:—"All persons ought to follow what is right, not what is established." The Simple Life Series will, we are sure, be welcomed by all lovers of good literature, more especially by those of limited means, who yet desire to adorn their book-shelves with the best thoughts of the best minds.

* Published by the Simple Life Press, 5 Water Lane, Lodgate Hill, London, E.C.

To admit on Sundays the Fatherhood of God, whilst denying on week-days the Brotherhood of Man, is nothing but blasphemy, if blasphemy there be. Nor will our churches and chapels, our hospitals and charity organisations, or any other means by which the consciences of the rich are temporarily soothed, and the most pressing necessities of the dis-inherited temporarily relieved, avail us anything—Toward the Light.

The history of modern civilization is but the record of the progress of communities from Despotism toward Democracy, from the reign of Power toward the reign of Reason, from the thraldom of Privilege toward the majesty of Freedom, from Iniquity toward Equity, from Darkness toward the Light. But yesterday the goal itself was hidden from our ken; to-day, thanks to the labours of thousands of earnest workers, not only does it stand revealed to our view, but the path to it is clearly discernible, and the hindrances to its attainment known to all who care to know. Well, then, may it not whether the ultimate triumph of Reason, of Freedom, and of Justice can long be delayed.—L. H. Berens.

* On page 491 there is a passage, a quotation from a letter from Henry George, which might lead to the view that some of our Australian co-workers who do not hold George's views on the question of 'Land Values' are influenced by him. Flurschein assures us that Henry George's views were not ascribed to him. This is clearly an error. The passage was written by one who played an active part in the Australian movement, and who is himself a 'heretic' on the question, was not the case. Their views were formulated, and found expression in a paper, Our Communism's, copies of which were regularly sent to Mr. Flurschein long before his book, "Rent, Interest, and Wages," was written—at all events before it reached Australia. And we know that some of those most influential for this divergence have not till this day been able to read that book, though it certainly contains many valuable quotations worthy of being preserved. This point, however, will interest but few of our readers, though, in justice to our Australian co-workers, it is only right that it should be mentioned.