# The Public

Eighth Year

# MISCELLANY

### RAGS AND PURPLE. For The Public.

Hoary with sorrows and cursed by fate, I watched him sit at the palace gate; I watched him mumble and mouth and swear

At the pitiful dole of passing men-God's image, set in the highway there

To mock the image of God again-To mock the race in His image shaped,

A hideous sore of man's own bestowing: When the impoverished mind's escaped,

They'll bury him where the grass is growing.

One wide gulf's prohibiting wave Severs the freeman from the slave. Hoary with honors and jeweled state.

1 watched him pass through the palace

gate; I watched him pass through the clanging portals,

His features haggard with sin and care: Yes, shut him safely from humbler mortals, Yet do not yearn for his gilded chair.

Do not covet what he possesses-Cancerous growth of man's bestowing:

When he dies from prolonged excesses, They'll bury him where the grass is grow-

ing.

One wide gulf's prohibiting wave Severs the freeman from the slave. No broad gulf parts tyranny's spawn, Lazarus, beggar, and Dives, knave:

The impassable chasm doth ever yawn Between the freeman and the slave. -GERTRUDE COLLES.

939 Eighth avenue, New York City.

#### THE NEW BIRTH.

Extract from a sermon preached in the Church of the Advent (Episcopal), Birmingham, Ala., February 12, 1905, by the Rev. Quincy Ewing.

Jesus answered and said unto him [Nicodemus], Except a man be born again, he cannot see the Kingdom of God.-John 3:3.

This is the trouble with most of us today who are calling ourselves religious -we have come to feel, somehow, that the chief purpose of religion is to give us. individually, comfort and consolation; to assure us of our secret peace with God. .And it never occurs to us that in this feeling the religion of Jesus Christ is turned upside down and wrong side out! It is so far from being true that the chief purpose of religion here and now, is to give us comfort, that it is impossible to see how it can fulfill its purpose in our lives without giving us a great deal of heart-searching discomfort. The chief purpose of it as it concerns the world is the building of the Kingdom of God to be the Kingdom of God and man; and the chief purpose of it as it concerns us individually is to rouse, and waken, and urge, and command, and scourge us to be builders of that Kingdom. And, unless we are being builders of it, we are not at peace

with God, and not at peace with ourselves, whatever we may feel!

To be "born again" is to be born, not into any spiritually exclusive set of a "400," or 4.000, or 4,000,000, dictated to by some Divinely-anointed Ward Mc-Allister; but, rather, out of the spiritual insanity of selfish exclusiveness; out of the unholy realm where man builds up barriers against his brother-man, and dedicates his work to God; where human creeds are an insult to humanity. and man decrees death for his fellowman, in order to live for himself-out of that realm into the spiritual Kingdom of God, which is the true and eternal democracy of men-that Divine Kingdom and that human democracy, where every sacred privilege enjoyed by the individual soul is an obligation to enlarge the common joy. And to be saved, and to know oneself saved, therein, is to be and know oneself a sharer in the beatific vision of a redeemed humanity which one's own faithfulness and one's own heroism has helped to redeem.

Ay, not to be conscious, and at peace in the consciousness, that the Divine Spirit vocal to you is silent to others is to be born again. Not to be assured that, in "the wreck of matter and the crash of worlds," God's wrath will hurl away pitiful thousands to their pitiless doom, while His mercy holds you to Himself—is to be born again.

Nay, but to be conscious that, if God has spoken less clearly to others than to you, it is because He has elected you for some special ministry to them; to be assured that, if He has endowed you with powers, privileges, opportunities, blessings, not possessed by most of your fellowmen, it is because He has chosen for them to be blessed through you and such as you—that, that, is to be born again!

# WE ARE VIOLATING FOUNDATION PRINCIPLES.

The steps in written constitutional government are Magna Charta, the Habeas Corpus act, the Petition of Rights and the United States Constitution. This last re-wrote the principles of those older sacred documents, and added the principles that the military must be subordinate to the civil power, that all men are equal before the law, and there must be no taxation without representation. For these principles our forefathers fought; and against them their sons are fighting. Are the Filipinos governed without their consent or not? Are they taxed without representation or not? No matter how philanthropic our motives, our children will reap the harvest of repentance for these violations of eternal justice.—C. E. S. Wood, in The Pacific Monthly.

AMERICA AND ROME—A PARALLEL. At the Vine Street Congregational church, in Cincinnati, New Year's evening the pastor, Herbert S. Bigelow, discussed the question: "Are we going the way of Rome?"

If your house were on fire you would thank a man for telling you. You would not waste time abusing him for being a pessimist and looking on the dark side of things.

If your neighbor thinks the American Republic is developing the same tendencies which destroyed the Republic of Rome, do not denounce him. Read Froude's "Caesar," and see if there is not some ground for his fears.

Between that Roman history and our own there are points of contrast, to be sure. But there are also points of likeness which should receive the attention of all true patriots.

First let us note the state of religion. Of the religion of Rome Froude has this to say:

Religion, once the foundation of the laws and rule of personal conduct, had subsided into opinion. The educated, in their hearts, disbelieved it. Temples were still built with increasing splendor; the established forms were scrupulously observed. Public men spoke conventionally of Providence, that they might throw on their opponents the odium of implety; but of genuine belief that life had a serious meaning, there was none remaining beyond the circle of the silent, patient, ignorant multitude.

Of ourselves Tolstoi wrote only last May:

The evil from which men of the Christian world suffer is that they have temporarily lost religion.

Some, he declares,

Live without religion and preach the uselessness of any religion of whatever kind. Others holding to that distorted form of the Christian religion which is now preached, likewise live without religion, professing empty external forms, which cannot serve as guidance for men.

Said Froude:

The whole spiritual atmosphere was saturated with cant—cant moral, cant political, cant religious; an affectation of high principle which had ceased to touch the conduct, and flowed on in an increasing volume of insincere and unreal speech.

Would it be necessary to do more than change the tense to make those words true of us?

The history of the Standard Oil company, written by the late Henry D. Lloyd, is no doubt worthy of all confidence as a painstaking and conscientious report of the truth. How can one help recalling

Digitized by Google

# The Public

### this history when he reads these words of Froude:

To make money-money by any means, lawful or unlawful-became the universal passion.

In politics it is the same story. Lincoln Steffens' book, "The Shame of the Cities," describes a condition among us very like that which Froude described when he said:

The elections were managed by clubs and coteries; and, except on occasions of national danger or political excitement, those who spent most freely were most certain of auccess.

If Rome had her mob maintained at public expense, so has New York. The New York Times states that during the first nine months of this last year fifty thousand men sought shelter in the municipal lodging houses, and it was estimated that 500,000 people in the metropolis alone were dependent upon charity for their Christmas dinner.

The great economic question in the Roman Republic was the land question. "To rescue the land from the monopolists," that, according to Froude, was the aim of Rome's patriotic statesmen. In that they failed, and for that reason more than any other Rome perished. We have the same land laws that Rome had. Unless we mend those laws so as to prevent a private monopoly of the Nation's resources, we are as certain to suffer the fate of Rome as like effects are certain to follow like causes. We believe there is yet time for us to improve upon the Roman civilization by solving this basic problem of the ownership of the Nation's soil.

We believe there is yet time, but we know there will not always be time.

## THE TREND TOWARD GOVERN-MENT OWNERSHIP.

The especial interest of the following article rests in the circumstances of its pub-Washington letter from F. E. Sullivan, staff correspondent of the Chicago Chronicle, and appeared in the place of honor on the first page of the Chronicle of April 15. The Chronicle is a Republican paper, and is owned and controlled by John R. Walsh, president of the Chicago National bank, and one of the leading railroad financiers of the West.

President Roosevelt's purpose to demonstrate the utility of a railroad owned by the government has not the indorsement of all of his official advisers. These gentlemen are afraid that a practical trial of the government ownership idea will result in unfortunate political complications, which. if they do not destroy the Republican party, may leave it in a badly demoralized condition for many years to come.

"If the Panama railway is operated on government ownership lines the immediate result on the public mind will be to create a demand for control by the government of other railroads and there will follow chaos in our finances as well as our politics," said a gentleman, who, notwithstanding that he enjoys the president's confidence, is not in harmony with his plans for the Panama railwav.

"Government ownership is not 2 new theory," this gentleman continued. "It has had ardent advocates for a great many years, but in the past the men who have urged the desirability of government control of our transportation facilities have not been regarded seriously. The recent election at Chicago, resulting as it did in a comprehensive, clean-cut victory for municipal ownership, is a clear indication of the trend of public sentiment in the direction of ownership of all public utilities.

"Mr. Bryan is traveling about the country speaking for government ownership and obviously he proposes to be the candidate of his party upon a government ownership plank in 1908. That is going to be one of the great issues of the campaign of that year, perhaps the overshadowing issue.

"If President Roosevelt persists in carrying out his programme to operate the Panama railway, with its steamship connections on the Atlantic and Pacific, as a competitor of the existing transcontinental lines, it is conceivable that he will add fuel to the flame which Mr. Bryan is now nursing with such infinite care.

"If the Panama railway will carry freights cheaper than the transcortinental roads, it will immediately become the popular transportation route between the Atlantic and the Pacific Shippers will hail it with accoasts. They are looking for cheap claim. freight rates. Undoubtedly they will offer the road all of the business it can carry, and perhaps more. The public will be told that the experiment has been a great success, and perhaps truthfully. The public, however. may not care to look at the other side of the picture-the destruction of values in the stocks and bonds of competing transcontinental lines and consequent losses to the thousands upon thousands of investors who hold these stocks and bonds.

"That is the dark and threatening side of the government ownership idea at this time, and, when one takes into way company's haul would be by wa-

account the temperamental characteristics of the American people, it is easy to foresee that the dark side of the picture is not apt to be lightened once the government ownership idea takes a strong hold upon their imaginations."

Secretary Taft, it is understood, is the only member of the president's cabinet who is in complete accord with his purpose to operate the Panama railway as a competitor of the transcontinental systems. The secretary believes that the president is right in his determination to cut freight and passenger carrying charges almost to the bare cost of operation.

It was his idea to double-track the line across the isthmus so that the movement of trains would not be hampered by the necessities of construction. The secretary is in favor of constructing a third and even a fourth track if the demands upon the facilities of the road should warrant such expenditures. It was also his idea that the terminal docks at Panama shall be enlarged to accommodate four or five times as many ships as can safely be moored alongside the existing docks.

Secretary Tait has his eye upon the Philippine traffic, and also upon the traffic of China, Japan and other Asiatic countries. All of this traffic at the present time is carried across the continent by the Canadian Pacific, the Great Northern, the Northern Pacific, the Union Pacific, the Santa Fe and the Southern Pacific lines. Ever since the United States assumed control of the Philippines the trans-continental roads have been vying with each other to enlarge their Asiatic tonnage, and, without exception, have increased their Pacific fleets.

The Panama railroad, under the terms of its agreement with these lines, has not undertaken heretofore to carry freights destined for Asiatic points, except in rare cases where permission to do co was first obtained from the other railroads. The president and Secretary Taft are of the opinion that the government, in its capacity as owner of the Panama road. can shortly build up an enormous trans-Pacific business by delivering freight destined for Asia on board of steamers prepared to receive it at Panama.

It is inconceivable that the railways can successfully meet this competition. Practically all of the Panama Rail-