

American Wealth and Real Estate

The economic data collected by the Federal Reserve includes real estate but leaves out the importance of land value and rent.

June 12, 2016

Fred Foldvary, Ph.D.

Economist

The Federal Reserve Board of Governors published on June 9 the “[Financial Accounts of the United States](#)” for the First Quarter of 2016. It includes “Flow of Funds, Balance Sheets, and Integrated Macroeconomic Accounts.”

The report tells us that the net worth (assets minus liabilities) of households and nonprofits rose to \$88.1 trillion. The country’s asset values were boosted in that quarter by a \$500 billion increase in the value of residential real estate, which is actually an increase in land values. Net worth had fallen during the Great Recession of 2008 to \$56 trillion. About 64% of Americans are homeowners.

Domestic nonfinancial debt outstanding was \$45.7 trillion, composed of household debt of \$14.3 trillion, nonfinancial business debt of \$13 trillion, and total government debt of \$18.3 trillion.

In 2015, US net wealth was \$80 trillion. Household ownership of real estate was \$25 trillion out of a total of \$31 trillion of nonfinancial assets. Nonfinancial noncorporate businesses assets were \$12 trillion, of which real estate was \$11 trillion. The market value of domestic corporations was \$29 trillion. Federal government nonfinancial assets were \$3.2 trillion, of which real estate structures were \$1.5 trillion. There is no report on the land value held by the federal government. State and local governments nonfinancial assets were worth \$10 trillion, of which structures are \$9.7 trillion.

Foreign financial claims on the U.S.A. were \$22.8 trillion, while US claims on foreign assets were \$17.2 trillion, for net claims of minus \$5.6 trillion.

The federal government’s report of the distribution of income is misleading for rental income. The category “rental income of persons” at \$657 billion excludes the rental income of real estate owned by corporations and governments, and is net of all expenses and depreciation. It includes the rental of both buildings and land, but excludes the portion of land rent paid in property taxes and income taxes, and the portion of land rent paid as mortgage debt service. This rental income is net of depreciation, which is based on the tax code rather than real economic loss.

In the savings and investment report, the Fed puts gross savings at \$3.4 trillion and net savings at \$552 trillion. Net savings are total savings minus borrowing for consumption. One problem is that much of the net private savings of \$1.3 trillion is offset by total government borrowing of \$723 billion: \$588 billion of federal borrowing and \$135 billion of state and local borrowing.

The total value of reported real estate value was, in trillions, \$25 for households, \$11 for noncorporate business, and $(\$9.7 + 1.5) * 2 = \22.4 for governments, multiplying the structure value by 2 in the assumption that half of the real estate value is land. Since research concludes that over 25 percent of corporate assets are in real estate, that puts corporate real estate value at $\$29 / 4$ trillion, or \$7.25 trillion. That gives us a total value of US real estate of around \$65 trillion. Capitalized at five percent, that yields \$3.25 in annual rental.

If half of real estate value is land, then the annual land rent is half of \$3.25, or \$1.6 trillion. If all taxes were shifted out of wages, capital yields, and produced goods, much potential rent would beco

kinetic rent as the deadweight loss and the rent paid via income and sales taxes would be converted into explicit land rent, say about double the current measured rent, hence we are back to \$3.25, but in land rent.

Total government spending in 2015 was \$6.4 trillion, of which pensions, medical care, and welfare was \$3.1 trillion. If workers and investors kept all their gains, these transfers would not be needed to maintain living standards. Thus, subtracting transfers from \$6.4 gives us about \$3.3 trillion in government services and subsidies, and after subtracting subsidies such as to agriculture, government spending of \$3.2 trillion is roughly equal to the land rent, in accord with the Henry George Theorem of public finance, which shows how the value of public goods equals the land rent.

Several conclusions can be drawn from the data:

1. Government data do not calculate the income from the factors of production, the land, labor, and capital goods.
2. Per capita net worth is about \$300,000, of which more than half is real estate value.
3. Much of the rise in US “wealth” since the Great Recession is the recovery of land values.
4. The data indicate that land rent could pay for the public goods provided by government.

The land value of an economy is financial wealth, but not economic wealth, as it is not produced. A higher price of land implies a greater cost for the next buyer. The gain to the landowner is offset by the loss to the buyer in having to pay a higher price and borrow more funds. The higher purchase price of land can also be due to excessive speculation as well as restrictions on development. A shift to full land value taxation would drop the purchase price of land to near zero, which implies that much of the land value is due to the subsidy of public goods not paid for by the served landowner.

To a large extent, the price of land is the capitalized value of the wages and capital yields extracted for public goods. The higher land value is offset by the lower wages and capital yields.

Therefore it would be enlightening for the Federal Reserve to also publish data on the net worth of the economy after subtracting out the puff that is land value.

© Text Copyright 2016 Fred Foldvary, Ph.D. All rights reserved.

E

Join the discussion...

♡ Share

Best Newest Oldest



geonomist Moderator

8 years ago

Rather than subtract "butter" from federal spending, subtract "guns", the war machine. That's not a public good. I had a great chat with the Fed on all these points. Too bad you didn't join!

0 0 Reply Share ›



geonomist Moderator

8 years ago

They were not values. They were prices. Value is all one pays to own, including tax, insurance, fees, etc. Lump sums greatly over-estimate flows. Hold a yard sale. See if your "asset values" fetch anything close to the sticker you put on them. Get everyone on your block to host a yard sale the same weekend. See how even less your so-called "asset values" fetch. The other problem with conflating value and price is that you reinforce the worldview you're trying to change -- land as object of speculation. Instead, you should emphasize the frame in which value equals rent (plus other flows). Adhering to your opponents terms, you make rent private property -- which the Fed is happy to have you do -- while you should help people see it as common wealth.

0 0 Reply Share ›

Find Out More.

Inside information on economics, society, nature, and technology.

Name

Email



We don't like spam either: you can unsubscribe anytime.

Fred Foldvary, Ph.D.

Economist

FRED E. FOLDVARY, Ph.D., (May 11, 1946 — June 5, 2021) was an economist who wrote weekly editorials for [Progress.org](#) since 1997. Foldvary's commentaries are well respected for their currency, sound logic, wit, and consistent devotion to human freedom. He received his B.A. in economics from the University of California at Berkeley, and his M.A. and Ph.D. in economics from George Mason University. He taught economics at Virginia Tech, John F. Kennedy University, Santa Clara University, and San Jose State University.

Foldvary is the author of *The Soul of Liberty*, *Public Goods and Private Communities*, and *Dictionary of Free Market Economics*. He edited and contributed to *Beyond Neoclassical Economics* and, with Dan Klein, *The Half-Life of Policy Rationales*. Foldvary's areas of research included public finance, governance, ethical philosophy, and land economics.

Foldvary is notably known for going on record in the *American Journal of Economics and Sociology* in 1997 to predict the exact timing of the 2008 economic depression—eleven years before the event occurred. He was able to do so due to his extensive knowledge of the real-estate cycle.

© Copyright 1997-2024, [Progress.org](#). All rights reserved.