incorporating "The Standard", published since 1905

JOURNAL OF POLITICAL, SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC COMMENT

No.817 Registered for posting as a Publication—Category B AUGUST,

Editor **** JACK BRANDON

504

9110 419 Tor 3632. INFORMATION call 638 7593

7153

1976

the first week of every alternate

Published III....
month by the Proprietors,
The Association for Good Government
143 Lawson Street
REDFERN, NSW 2016

TECHNOLOGY AND UNEMPLOYMENT

that most vocal of economists, J K Galbraith, was constrained to admit that in the past himself by trying to put such qualifications into practical effect. Wherever they have been tried the results have been so dismal that even of human happiness. century economists had added nothing to the sum problems equipped qualifications as an economist, he was better emphasised that, by reason of his academic In a recent television interview the leader sms than the present government. Whatever s he may have to aid him in that task, he certainly be well advised not to handicap federal opposition, Mr W Hayden, to deal with this country's economic

instead of seen to be while the vast majority, upon whom the new technologies depended for their utilisation, found themselves in a nightmare of bitter multiplied the productive power of labour but, competition for jobs that depressed wages and goods over the past two centuries. enormous increase in the ability to technologies based on other sciences had the wide-spread poverty that accompanied the The practical necessity, which to formulate a science of Economics, on the scap heap increasing wages, the benefits were going to a minority of wealthy people of unemployment. caused the New produce 83 S

exceptions among scientific enquiry but, with a few honourable The problem was stark and pressing for a eptions among those who devoted themselves the study was side-tracked or aborted when 0

It appeared to be getting close to fundamental principles capable of explaining the lamentable facts.

to expand sufficiently to pay it wages. The other assumed that the private ownership of capital was the cause of the trouble and devoted having no impact on the basic problem. The contended that poverty and unemployment were inevitable and stemmed from the tendency of its energies to socialism and welfare. production to support the increase or of capital population divided into two broad schools, each sterile cause to effect. others with a failure to reason coherently from and secular with the relatively small but powerful class that was economically supreme and the diverted or stopped are devious and require area, unlike in the physical sciences, was scientifically and ultimately its followers institutions which supported it, The reasons why the pursuit of truth study. to increase beyond the capacity of or, In general as in The study did not develop the cases of Marx and they were identified both religious and

AND TELECOM

1811. using labour-saving devices and his fear that able The lack of any progress at all was highlighted by the recent Telecom strike in Luddites smashed textile machinery in England in introduction of labour-saving technology in which technicians tried to prevent the intelligence in economising time and effort by telephone system for the same reasons that these devices to unravel the contradiction between man's In that time the economists have not been will, in fact, achieve (Cont. on page the

THE PRINCIPLES OF GOOD GOVERNMENT

- The true function of government is to maintain peace and justice. This does not include interfer in national or international trade or commerce, or in the private transactions of its electors say only as these threaten peace and justice.

 A democratically controlled and just revenue is available to governments by the collection of all site rents as their sole and proper revenue, at the same time abolishing all taxes, tariffs and commerce, or in the private transactions of its electors save This does not include interfering
- unjust privileges of every description. A democratic system of representation by the adoption of proportional representation in multi-seat
- W electorates and simplified provision for the referendum, initiative and recall. economic facts of life to enlighten the electorate
- continuous program of education in the

actual production, marketing, repair and servicing of vehicles or the demand for oils and minerals, but in the enhanced demand for goods that could then be transported more economically jobs than were superseded, not only in the the motor redundant when the motor vehicle appeared but with regard both to quantity and quality. Farriers and cart builders became largely expansion of demand in all other areas, employment in any general sense, it increases the opportunities for employment because the saving in one particular area involves the introduction of machinery benefits all consumers. 1 of goods in particular occupations, the economising labour and increasing the production which economists always appear to dodge. requires an examination of first principles, The reconciliation of the contradiction greater areas industry caused infinitely more new y reduces prices and Far from reducing repair and Ву

associated. In the post war years, at reasuntil 1974, there occurred a most intensive accelleration of the introduction of new suspiciously at machinery. trades unions, like the Luddites, are looking of that state of affairs and, question. re-absorbed, the process was accepted without industry but, because jobs were relatively plentiful and displaced labour could be quickly machinery, electronics and the unemployment and poverty with which it is machinery is to try to stop progress instead To try to stop the use of labour saving in the absence of any logical explanation Now there is a scarcity of employment from economists, the technology into years, at least

LABOUR DE-BARRED FROM ITS ENVIRONMENT

Unemployment is the real fear when voices are raised against machines and the cause of that is associated with man's fundamental rights to the use of his environment. All employment is ultimately dependant on labour having access to natural opportunities, i.e. to land with its varied resources and capacities. It is vital that governments ensure the continuity of this access, otherwise industry is inhibited, not only in its basic forms, but in all its secondary derivatives and services throughout the whole economy.

The failure of economists and governments to understand this connection between land and employment results in some privileged individuals and groups, with the backing of law, being able to interpose themselves between land, a natural gift to all, and those wanting to produce. Without such legal backing land would have no value but its existence enables those who hold the privileges to extort goods and services from those who want to produce. Once this is established land values take their place in the speculation of the market to the massive detriment of labour which, for the right to

produce, has to pay over amounts that rise to a point where they become uneconomic and employment is reduced or stops altogether.

INFLATION AND UNEMPLOYMENT ARE DISTINCT PROBLEMS

Current 'economic thought' is to pretend that these barriers do not exist and that inflation or what is happening in other countries is the cause of unemployment. One of its suggestions is to take further taxes from producers and to spend them in creating artificial employment. It would be difficult to imagine a more fatuous approach than that of diverting money, presently being spent by its owners and causing economic employment into what would, in effect, be more dole money.

The correction of inflation will not cure unemployment. Positive action to restore the rights of people in their natural environment, by eliminating the speculative tolls, that are being exacted, is the only scientific way and such an approach could substantially reduce inflation by removing from the market a mass of speculative values unsupported by goods.

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF HENRY GEORGE'S IDEAS IN WORLD POLITICS

By the late DR VIGGO STARCKE, M.P.

(Extracts from an address delivered at the Public Demonstration, Folk High School, Odense, Sunday 3rd August 1952)

Wars are more dangerous than epidemics but like these they have their causes. If we can discover their causes and prevail upon mankind to remove them, we shall be able to attain results in the field of politics as outstanding as have been attained in the field of epidemiology and surgery.

what interests by way of customs barriers to what the vanquished Some people think that war is part of natural order of things. Many people be land with read that elsewhere; and we are in the fortunate position harsh, to be sure, and men are not all equally good, but the fundamental causes are to be found that it is due to the folly of is almost always nd with coal, oil these are revealed to us, if we will but war really was behind the waging of war, namely, the peace treaties. order of things. Many people believe is due to the wickedness of man. Others privileges to be gained, or be removed. and metals, had to concede to the victor. land and trading There you will find man. trading Nature interests,

-v/ 777 21.07

It is in the solution of the land problem and in the liberation of trade, therefore, that we must seek the possibility of removing the worst causes of war. That is why Henry George stands today in the very centre of the problem of world-politics.

As in Great Britain, so it is in nearly all countries. It is a universal feature. It is fundamental problem. Karl Marx saw it without understanding the importance of what he saw. I believed that both land and machines were presuppositions were wrong. He did not know that the proletarians, poverty, and unemployment had existed for centuries, before the machines, process of production. capital, because both of them took part in the owns an overwhelmingly great part of the land. in Great Britain a very small part of the elsewhere. unemployment. machines could not be the causes of poverty and into being. before the factories, before capitalism came presuppositions were wrong. that the proletarians, pover Karl Marx did not see that his Therefore capitalism and the The cause must be sought is to be found in the far fact that It is a people He

Houses, machines, and tools have all been made by men. They are capital, but they wear out and come to an end. Moth and rust corrupt them. But we can build new houses and construct better machines, if we have access to land and the riches it supplies.

solving the Europe to th foundation of capital monopoly. His failure to do so delayed the liberation of labour for 100 years. The young Karl Marx became the father of Communism, which today threatens world peace. The old Karl Marx became the father of Social restrictions and economic planning instead of dominated coutries there has been resort to fundamental misapprehension. Democracy, which is but a frail bulwark against Communism, both building upon the same monopoly of land, thereby to destroy the foundation of capital monopoly. His failure to would have directed his campaign against the significant admission: 'Land monopoly is the basis of capitalist monopoly'. If, therefore, Karl Marx had been as logical and as dialectical work. Furthermore, it is everlasting. Access to land is the condition of all labour and all capital. Karl Marx himself made this Land, however, has not been made by human is passes off to be by the Communists, he to the brink of bankruptcy. land problem. This has brought In all Socialist father of

Science and technique developed in the west under freedom. None of this was made by Communism. If the Iron Curtain had been lowered immediately after the Communist revolution of 1917 so that science and technique could not have entered, then the large, amiable Russian people would have been dead today from starvation, and Communism.

It was Henry George who saw the fundamental significance of the land question. He it was who pointed out its rational and just solution. Therefore he stands at the gates which give approach to one of the most important questions in world politics. The solution is a simple one: The things you have made by your own work are yours. The things I have made by my work are mine. But the things that neither you nor I nor any human being have made, they shall belong to us all.

Free trade is the original state of affairs. Tariffs and restrictions are later abnormities. They are responsible for many wars. They keep the western world disunited in spite of the military co-operation.

The fundamental economic interests of a people in trade lies in importation of the goods they want, and not in the exportation of the goods they have. Tariffs and restrictions are often directed against the populations of other countries, but they are always directed against the home population of a country. They are measures that go against the interests of the nation—they are actions involving a breach of neutrality.

and New Jersey. But George Washington and Alexander Hamilton succeeded in uniting the states so that the United State today consist of 48 states with complete free trade one with the other. This is the mainstay of American it is just a question of time, for America cannot in the long run conduct a military policy in favour of NATO, and at the same time conduct not yet been applied externally. that America has since followed internally has with States met to frame the Constitution in 1787, one of the difficulties was to make the single states give up some of the sovereign freedom they had enjoyed of annoying the other states a commercial policy opposed to NATO. strength. forego the possibility of plucking Connecticut American States, and New York was reluctant to very high tariff barriers against the other When representatives of the first 13 tariffs. The broad-minded commercial policy New York State, for instance, had In my opinion United of

It was Alexander Hamilton who pointed out:
'As too much power leads to despotism too little
leads to anarchy, and both eventually to the
ruin of the people'.

General Eisenhower says in his book 'Crusade in Europe':

'Military preparedness alone is an inadequate answer to the problem. Communism inspires and enables its militant preachers to exploit injustices and inequity among men.

'Wherever popular discontent is founded on group oppression or mass poverty or the hunger of children, there Communism may stage an

(Cont. on page 4)

offensive that arms cannot counter. Discontent can be fanned into revolution, and revolution into social chaos. The sequel is dictatorial rule. Against such tactics exclusive reliance on military might is vain.

'The areas in which freedom flourishes will continue to shrink unless the supporters of democracy match Communist fanaticism with clear and common understanding that the freedom of men is at stake; meet Communist-regimented unity with the voluntary unity of common purpose, even though this may mean a sacrifice of some measure of nationalistic pretensions; and, above all, annul Communist appeals to the hungry, the poor, the prosecuted for the elimination of social and economic evils that set men against men.'

Military preparedness alone is inadequate, says Eisenhower. Communism inspires and enables its militant preachers to exploit injustice and inequity among men. Let us then treat men with justice! Henry George has shown us how!

In all countries, where people live in oppression and possess neither land nor freedom, there they will prefer Communism to capitalistic democracy, seeing that Capitalism only offers them freedom without land, whereas Communism offers them land without freedom. The day we offer the people both land and freedom, that is the day when justice has won and Communism lost.

*** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***

INTEREST AND ITS CAUSES

By A R CANNON

To the classical economists, there were three parties—landlords, labourers and capitalists who respectively furnished the three factors of production—land, labour and capital. They received three rewards—rent, wages and interest. Rent was considered as due to the difference in value between divers parcels of land and the poorest land in use, (i.e. the law of rent) and wages as the reward for labour. But there was no consensus upon the reason for interest.

Consequently, many diverse opinions arose, such as Bastiat's 'plank and plane theory' and also 'the reward for abstinence', both of which were ably dispelled by Henry George. In his turn, George settled upon another fallacy by describing interest as due to 'natural increase'. But in his later writings, he rejects this by declaring that, if a jack-knife were thrown upon the ground and greww into a tree of assorted cutlery, only landlords would benefit. What better example of natural increase could one find.

However, despite George's disproof of the abstinence theory, it lingered on in orthodox

circles for quite a while. Elderly citizens will remember the advertising propaganda of a certain money lending organization, which depicted and elderly gentleman and, presumably, wife, who had lived prudently and saved all through their lives, sitting comfortably by their fire and enjoying, in their old age, the income of savings invested at interest.

The trouble with this type of sophistry is that it is worse than a direct lie, as it is a half-truth. We are all aware of those middle and low income recipients who, through years of penny-pinching, scraping and saving have managed to accumulate modest reserves.

But eventually, about the time of Keynes, this fallacy was exposed. It was found that by far the greatest amount of saving was done by wealthy individuals and corporations, who, in the case of individuals, fared sumptuously, far beyond the wildest dreams of most of us, had all they wanted in the shape of material goods—homes, cars, household appliances, sporting goods etc, and in the case of firms, had luxurious offices and amenities, capital equipment, etc and yet had enormous surplus of income, which through different forms of investment, went on increasing steadily.

However, in his turn, by postulating interest as 'the price paid for the use of money', Keynes makes his own mistake, for, if this were true, interest would tend to rise, or fall inversely to increase, or decrease in money volumes. In fact the opposite is true.

Consequently, contemporary orthodox economists (now the neo-Keynesians) have to search for a new interest theory. In the lack of alternatives, they resort to a subterfuge. They return to the old 'reward for abstinence' theory and alter just one word. "Interest", they now say, "is the reward for 'waiting'."

In some ways the word 'waiting' means the same as 'abstinence', and in the above case it is absolutely interchangeable. So, let us examine *Progress and POverty* and quote some lines upon 'abstinence', except that we substitute 'waiting' for that word. (The excerpt is taken from *P & P, Book 3, Chap 3*—'Interest and the Cause of Interest').

"'Waiting' is not an active, but a passive quality; it is not a doing—it is simply a not doing. 'Waiting' in itself produces nothing. Why, then, should any part of what is produced be claimed for it?"

But, in order to reinforce their opinion of the importance of 'waiting', the conservatives tell us that 'time is involved'. If I lend \$100 at 5% for a year, of course time is involved—fully twelve months in fact. Similarly, if I engage a labourer by the hour, or rent a piece

of land by the month is time involved. In fact, every material action, human or otherwise, involves time. After all, we live in a four dimensional world—at least. So, why do they single out interest in relation to time. Is it because they cannot find any better argument?

To confuse the issue still further, they say that part of interest is due to risk and that there are always different rates arising from various degrees of risks. They waste much valuable time deliberating upon the subject.

This is about as naive as telling a mathematics professor that one and one make two. It must have been very early in economic history that risk was noticed. Since then, all economists have been aware and agreed that there is such a phenomenon. But, risk is specific as to incident and time, as each loan has its own risk rate. So it is primarily a micro and not a macro economic concern and, beyond noting it, we may put it aside and get on with the examination of that part of commercial interest, which is not risk.

Firstly: there are charges incurred by banks and other finance agents, in procuring and maintaining loans. Actually, these are business costs and not interest, although they are usually included, along with risk, in 'commercial' interest.

Secondly: nowadays, certain pressure groups use their political muscle, to corrupt politicians into arranging lower than average interest rates and higher than normal advances, to the benefit of these groups. Also governments, with Canute like intent, lower, or raise interest rates by decree, in the false hopes that they can control the economy thereby. Consequently, we have a new variant— political interest, which, as far as economics is concerned, only adds to the confusion.

However, the primary concern of the macroeconomist is neither commercial nor political
interest, but 'pure' or 'economic' interest,
which consists of the remainder after risk,
charges and political interest are allowed for.
As to its nature and causes, there is no
consensus of opinion. Consequently, we return
to the postulates of the early classical
economists and start again from there.

Capital, which is used by labour in production, is not an active factor, such as labour itself is. In fact, it is doubtful whether capital is a factor at all. Certainly, it is not a primary factor, as it is the product of land and labour. They are the primary ones. Capital use is part of the normal method that workers employ in the production of goods and services, but it is not absolutely essential to production.

However, some may consider its yield, interest as a reward for shrewdness, in that the transactor may know better than the market and shift his 'assets' about, to his advantage, from one form of holding to another, which includes lending at interest. But this is speculation and not production.

Consequently, unless someone can come forward with a new 'reward' theory, interest cannot be considered as such. Furthermore, I daresay that all reward theories were intended to pander to vested interests, and the classical postulates have fooled many well meaning people and have led them up the garden path to nowhere.

* This is the Keynesian method of account, in which interest is not included as a prior cost to profit, but is considered in a category of its own, so that it may be compared with profit, or expected profit. However, a similar conclusion, but with a different form of wording may be arrived at, if the alternative method, in which interest is included as a prior cost is used. (i.e. An entrepreneur is not likely to engage in a capital project, if he considers that it will return a loss and not a profit.)

But once we discard the idea of reward for capital's part in production, then the whole matter of interest shows up in a clearer light. In the first place, interest is not confined to capital use only, but may be payable on funds lent out for any purpose whatsoever—production, direct consumption, purchase of privilege, war, gambling, crime, to name some.

At base, interest is the price paid for the use of wealth, irrespective of the type of use to which that wealth is put. It comes into account, because of the overall shortage of wealth compared to the demand for it. In other words, if the wealth requirements of everyone were satisfied, there would be no need for borrowing and no cause for interest. This means that under the present social set up, there just isn't enough wealth to go around. Some, either do not have the wherewithal to buy directly, or do not find such buying to their convenience. And therefore, have to borrow, or go without.

In monopoly society, a disproportionate share of available income flows to the monopolists or wealthy class. This in turn, is lent back to those who produce wealth, i.e. the workers, for a consideration called interest. To this extent, interest is of monopoly.

Although the origin of interest lies in the shortage of wealth, yet if we regard money as the measure of value and medium of exchange, then we must quote all values in it, including interest. In this respect, interest is expressed as the money percentage of a money sum, i.e. the principal. Nevertheless, we can imagine a 'goods rate of interest'. At, or over

(Cont. on page 6)

from page

high. However, strictly speaking, carrying costs are primary costs, i.e. inroads to labour and natural resources, in order to keep up the condition of that particular type of commodity. negative, as here the carrying costs are very particularly with food-stuffs, it may be own rate and, according to Keynes, in some cases, any given time, each type of commodity has its

variations and comparisons between interest rates and other forms of gain, which are a major concern of economists, are easily computed. changes in same. With these money transportsk and charges are very slight and the term bond rates, which are published daily, are excellent indicators of the (money) rate and cannot assess a general, or average goods rate. But with money, bank rates and short, or long themselves are almost impossible to As there are so many 'own'rates, which in With these money transactions, measure,

interest; they are inflation, or deflation. Inflation tends to lower money values and increase interest rates and deflation tends to and goods values over However, the value relationship between money goods at any given time, is not interest is price; nor are alterations between money the opposite. a period of time,

second of the rate of interest. consists of the nature of interest and the aspects of which we should be aware. When discussing interest, there are The first

of equal importance. the first of these may be enough, but to those concerned with applied economics, the interest the first the dreamer, secure what from time to time determines it in his ivory tower, is

project, unless the rate of interest is less than the prospective yield.* Even if he can furnish the whole of the required capital himself, he will rather lend it, than proceed with the project under such circumstances. project, unless the rate of not likely to borrow to engage in a capital part in decisions of whether, or production is to take place. An This is because the rate plays such a vital An entrepreneur not, wealth

considerations, upon the suitability, or otherwise, of industrial expansion at a given effect upon depression, time and place and hence has a very important the final, which arises out of a number any sensible business man, of course, not the only one. inflation and employment, this decision of

expectations. always be below the average rate of profit entrepreneurs could afford to pay, effective demand) factors. market, depends If interest rates were set by what interest, like anything else subject But in a monopoly society this upon supply and demand (or they would ĺS ťo

> commensurable with desired borrowing conditions and the potential lender seeks the highest rate. Eventually, the actual rate settles at a mutually acceptable position, or the transaction is not proceeded with. The potential borrower seeks the lowest rate

disease, fires, etc; all of which tend to restrict supply and so increase interest rates. Good management, both political and private, good seasons, industrial peace, etc, have the opposite effect. and disaster, e.g. inclement weather, monopolies, insurrections, state bungling, diseconomies, eg. war, strikes, depressions coming to Supply consists of the amount the loans market. It is offset by of wealth pests, etc:

Not continue, it spreads throughout all industry. competitive industry can afford and here, economic stagnation sets in. If allowed to market as competitive borrowers, but they are able and willing to pay high and rising interest creates an elevating effect upon interest rates other factor of economic consequence. but also by what all entrepreneurs can pay and yet show an increase, But demand is governed not only by what only do land speculators enter the loan afford. This lifts the rate above what of economic consequence. In the later stages of a boom, land the others, seeking loans, eny

market with money, thereby increasing prices of most goods and allowing most industries to show sufficient profit to carry on for the immediate just about played out. future, despite rising interest rates. But du to the acceleration of inflation, this play is till recently, governments, when faced with su a predicament, have stepped in and flooded the However, since the second world war and up But due Such

But, food, things had not been then invented and, except in some possible but rare form of obscure dream, were beyond their comprehension. Consequently, with their supporting trades, have sprung up to satisfy and be satisfied by growing needs. washing machines, TV sets, etc, because these increased enormously and whole new industries, their wants were simpler, Wants grow with the growth of civilization, ancients didn't want such things as cars, since those times, innovations have clothing, housing, horses, carriages, etc consisting of such as

Consequently, the latter is, to this extent, the the result of present adverse pressures between capital) would accumulate, thus tending to It is the opinion of most that the capacity to produce increase supply and decrease interest rates unimpeded, In other words, that wealth (and be greater than the growing demand great economists would, if left 0,5

the result of present adverse pressures between the 'pro' and 'anti' forces of production, production

(Cont. on page 7)

AUGUST, 1978

these anti forces will decline and the proforces will grow. Thus a decline in +the interest will become If we assume (and hope) for a world in which rate of

interest rates reach zero. assume that have not so far discussed, there is no reason from another possible influence, decline will stop, at least until

question of whether, or not, a considerable portion of the population will still have such an inferiority complex, as is expressed in vain ostentation, to the extent of an insatiable desire for wealth. such people. could be limited by the credit-worthiness of production can satisfy. This other possible One that no possible rate of influence lies in the Although this, in turn

injustice, wealth production is held short and its division is unjust, its possession becomes an obsession and we are apt to imagine that su off', would look ridiculous. the flaunting of wealth, particularly that which had been berrowed with the purpose of 'showing equality have been put down? producers and where all other social barriers to produced, remained in the possession of the in a situation where wealth is very easily In our society, where, always be the case. due But, ö I would say that would this be so imagine that such social

interest rates, that is, until the supply of proclamation of social justice upon an economy that is short of goods, may be increased justice was proposed, was that interest rates fell. of Denmark, even when only a measure of social goods catches up in the short with demand, yet the experience run, the effect of the

is, under such circumstances, it will always be less than what the majority of entrepreneurs can afford and not subject to the influence of land speculators. However, no matter what the rate of interest

Ö 년 6 Tress

L. .. - was not better.

SYDNEY UNIVERSITY SENATE

(To The Editor, 'The Herald')

still adheres to the mediaeval illiterates' Hare of was introduced to which persists in crosses is over a century confronts us The approaching Senate election again Oxford. and to the totally inept first-past-thewith Australia's senior university the civilised world by Thomas since modern scientific the University. Although it Although voting

> the world's the serious and growing totalitarian threat to university fathers are apparently unconscious of post block system. reactionary places. in South Africa, Britain and many other primitive, misleading and unjust voting system democracies by the use of this In addition, our venerable

in other 'upper stratum' bodies, such as the the Law Society and the Synod of the Diocese of Sydney which some years ago rejected reform of our Commonwealth, but retarded in our mother It is the more extraordinary, because in Australia our 'uneducated' political public has university. This curious pattern is also seen least cognisant. seem that our more 'educated' classes are the discarded unjust block voting. this crude system long ago. We are electorally advanced in

(Director, Au-W A DOWE Australian School of Social Science) Lakemba NSW

READER'S GLOSSARY OF COMMON PHRASES

(From the Sydney Morning Herald)

language ain't what she used to be. To assist your readers who may be confused by today's terms, I have prepared the following glossary. Provocative statement: Any statement with Like the old grey mare, the English

which a union official disagrees.

but not relevant when referring to profits. Real: A term widely used to describe wages,

taxation to provide the money. who surprisingly never plead for corresponding Government grants: Money demanded by groups

Bashing: Any criticism of a trade-union

which the people have no choice of leader Rights: Any claims by minority groups, particularly justified criticism. People's Republic: A system of no choice of leaders' by marris

regardless of justification.

their own members. trade-unions which they nevertheless impose Pena! clauses: Fines violently opposed by g

critical about coloured people, particularly truth. For some reason the term is not applicable to a coloured person who criticises white or other coloured races. Racist: Any white person who says anything the

Flat-rate interest: A special type of interest reserved for those who can't afford the loan repayments.

parliaments. to gain democratic representation in Australia's elected to trade-union positions, Communists: People who can be democratically but are unable

M B WELLINGTON Perhaps your readers can add to the list * * * * *** ች ች * * 35 ** Ives NSW **

** ** (Cont. On page 8) * ***

7

SENSE AND NONSENSE

Western Coast has been opened less than a year, and it has produced a vast over-supply of oil along the the West Coast. The trans-Alaskan pipeline The nation's in an energy crisis—right? Oil in short supply—right? No! Certainly not has

foreign oil? Because California invokes environmental standards which have forced refiners to use lower sulpher Indonesian oil. In addition, Congress has forbidden the export of the Alaskan oil to Japan. Instead it must be used in the U.S. so it is shipped via tankers through the Panama Canal to the East Coast, an to Eastern United States. This would have been sensible and cheaper but the politicians would not permit it. They are protecting the public. from the Middle East countries would be shipped companies wanted a tradeoff—ship the excess oil expensive process for Easterners. restrictions and continued imports of large primarily the problem is due to environmental estimate of oil demand in the West, interference. The reasons for the over-supply are varied, most of them are due to the government's erference. There was an overly optimistic of foreign oil. and the oil Japan would have bought Why import all this This would have been The oil

make a bigger mess than exists today. was supposed to be concerned with a scarcity of oil is perplexed with what to do with this over Now the Federal Energy Administration which What will its solution be? Probably

(Reprinted from the New Jersey 'Gargoyle')

"LIBERAL" ECONOMICS

high prices and then be so efficient costs are much lower. can compete with other nations where overhead who like the primary producer are forced to pay export industries, including some manufacturers for their overheads at Australia's protected The tariff dilemma is one confronting all that they

As far as the cattle industry is concerned a stark comparison of these costs can be seen in the following example:

Cruiser (cab and chassis). * In Japan two bullocks will buy a Toyota Land

vehicle. . the UK three bullocks will buy the same

partners! the input price of one of our major trading In Australia 31 bullocks are needed-10 times

J HEUSSLER, Pres. United Graziers Association of ** ** ** ** ** Queensland *** *** ***

COST OF GOVERNMENT ?

to these questions in that chapter, one finds oneself in a spreading landscape of conjecture and assumption and idealistic moral opinion which is little help to that quest. page 15—leads one to ask 'What is the cost of government?' and, further, 'What is government?' organization and social life') - February issue the changes that would be wrought in social chapter 4, Book IX of Progress and Poverty ('Of And in the course of searching for the answers - Your brief interpretation of George's

chapter, in a flight of speculation as to man's truly communist society. inherent loving-kindness is free to produce the by the elimination of poverty, so that man garden in which all wickedness has been expunsed true nature and lands in a kind of paradise George really lets himself go, in this

of Adam Smith's argument in support of true enterprise by using the term 'selfishness' reference to Smith's projection of 'self-interest'. government should be responsible for a host of public services that would delight the heart of revered. For instance, he proposes that in the face of some of the more profound conclusions for which he is reknowned and appears to accept the common misinterpretation a Whitlamite socialist. In another place, he In the process, it seems to me, George flies free

be exercised by government would be the supervision of franchises, as in the case of electric and other forms of power, gas and water supplies and public transport, to prevent exploitation through monopoly. freedom of enterprise, most, if not all, th services he enumerates could be supplied by beautification). government (such as street maintenance and Australians are used to provide through local private enterprise, only excepting those which If you accept the principle of complete The only restraint required to

removal of all taxation. On the other hand, how does one assess the likely cost of such mind the effect on industry and commerce of receivable from the Surplus Product, bearing in revolution we visualise would bring about? situation which the economic and social police and the defence forces, in the changed essential services as the courts of to visualise the extent of revenue likely to be Of course, one is working in the dark trying justice, the

greater cost in the light of that changed situation, in which its role would no longer be that of discussing the merits of bills providing for the phoney warfare of party conflict which today distorts so much so much of what is ironically activities of businessmen, or of conducting the called government. There is the question of parliament and its intervention of government in the

There is the bureaucracy, whose present astronomical cost is well enough available. With the wiping out of the countless boards and commissions at present cluttering the path of industry and commerce, not to mention such redundencies as the departments of trade, shipping, labour, transport, etc., the cost of government would be very different from that of today.

changes in taste and ideas which already make some of these goodies a bit quaint, there is the question of the 'welfare' aspect of providing the Whitlam regime, in, for example, the case of tertiary education? idea; yet haven't we seen the disastrous consequences of such a policy, during and after without fee? This would seem to be George's of social or cultural activity. Does one, finstance, make all such facilities available anxious to establish them as a co-operative providing them as enterprises or of groups such institutions by government rather than the private initiative of interested people music and dancing halls, theatres, universities, sufficiently bountiful to provide funds for technical schools, shooting galleries, museums, libraries, gardens, lecture rooms, laygrounds, gymnasiums, etc. George visualises the Surplus Product being Allowing for the Does one, for

INFLATION - FEE'S VERSION

Sir — The editor of THE FREEMAN, the otherwise excellent monthly publication of the (U.S.) Foundation For Economic Education, does not tolerate correspondence commenting on any of its contents. The Australian counterpart of the Foundation, operating from an address in Queensland, has no similar vehicle.

One can only request, therefore, space in GOOD GOVERNMENT in which to challenge a recent statement by Dr Henry Hazlitt, in an American publication, REASON, of August, 1978* headed Inflation in One Page, which asserts that 'The sole cause of inflation is the printing of more money' (than is required to balance the budget), and that 'unemployment is mainly caused by excessive wage rates in some industries, brought about either by extortionate union demands, by minimum wage laws (which keep teenagers and the unskilled out of jobs) or by prolonged and overgenerous unemployment insurance'.

As I have endeavoured to show in my forthcoming book, TOWARDS THE FREE SOCIETY**, the cause of inflation is complex, despite Hazlitt's denial, but that the basic cause is the imbalance in the economy created by the failure of the government to collect the natural revenue of society, the Surplus Product, or economic rent, and its consequent recourse to taxation. A secondary cause, stemming from the first, is retention of the discredited Keynesian macro-economic theory of 'economic management' with its control, and mis-use, of the money supply and the manipulation of 'credit'. The imbalance is further aggravated by the inbalance is further aggravated by the 'welfare' system.

Unemployment is the result of the policy of Protectionism and the effect of government intervention in the management of industry. It, in turn, is aggravated by taxation and the consequent cost-inflation. Excessive wage demands are the natural result of the general mismanagement and of the misguided protectionism adopted by the trade union movement in self-defence.

What we are really suffering from is a modern version of the European mercantilism which Adam Smith assailed. Its new name is State Capitalism, versions of which will soon be indistinguishable in either the so-called West, the Soviet system or the 'third world'.

E P MIDDLETON

** See Also Knud Tholstrup's excellent booklet

ECONOMIC LIBERALISM and A R Cannon's

DEPRESSION, INFLATION AND EMPLOYMENT.

*** *** *** *** *** *** ***

Circulated by the Australian F.E.E.

Sir — Recently there have been frequent complaints about land tax.

We are certainly overtaxed, and land tax should not be increased without remission of other taxes.

But what about sales tax, which has escalated dramatically in recent years, and which hits lowest groups hardest?

What about income tax, which because of inflation has made increasing inroads on real wages in recent years, which deters productivity and enterprise, and which is costly and complex to collect?

What about payroll tax, which is a penalty employing others and which, according to Melbourne University economists, effectively displaces tens of thousands from employment?

What about high tariffs, which mean dearer prices to Australian consumers, and hardship tour Asian neighbours denied access to our markets?

Land tax as at present administered has various blemishes. Yet in principle it is the best and fairest form of revenue, since it requires landholders to pay in accordance with community-conferred advantages surrounding their sites.

(Cont. on page 10)

explained, cannot in the long run be passed on in higher prices. arising. Moreover, a land tax, as economists such as Nobel Prize winner Paul Samuelson have would deter land speculation, and so prevent the possibility of corruption in land dealing Moreover, FORSIER why the complaints about land tax? a properly applied land rental tax Melbourne

*** *** *** ***

**

**

an address to an audience, of which member, and told of some advice King had given him before leaving England importance to say to an audience begin the address with it, repeat it in the middle and his appointment as Governor of NSW. The was, 'If you have something of particular conclude with it, and don't apologise' late Sir Philip Game once of which I was a The advice to take George V delivered

significance. This only adds grist to the mill which I began grinding and which I shall now suggestion there will be such a big delay t the whole affair will have lost much of its The latest issue of the journal is dated February, 1978, but this is now August, so there is a considerable time lag here. If anyone continue to grind. takes upon himself to write an answer to my

apology to repeat that the only way to succeed is to take the fight right into the political arena. I suggested enlisting the support of Henry George Movements the world over in a huge deputation to President Carter. I repeat that, no doubt, President, deputation to President Carter. I repeat that irrespective of our individual opinions of the responsibility of making a decision would not rest with him alone. In fact, the presence of advisers, however numerous, could only work to the advantage of the deputation. Apropos of the King's advice I make no have advisers with him so that the here is the starting place. He would,

gathering momentum to overhaul the tax system in its entirety. Why not 'get at' this movement before it goes much further? this moment there is a movement quickly is another suggestion. In California at

substantive decision. I made an effort with him and got nowhere. enlist the support of all Henry George Movements throughout the Commonwealth to wait on the Prime the local scene it should be possible to He would be forced to give a e decision. I made an indivi individual

do this, so I repeat, again without apology, take the fight right into the political arena may influence a very small number of people, but they do not frame policy. Only the Politicians while there is yet time. Summer Schools and the Mike Walsh Show etc, may Efforts to educate the public by means of

HARRY HIIMS * * ** * * * * * * Warrimoo *** WSW

ABC PROGRAM

has shown us, as indeed have the governments of West Germany and Switzerland, how inflation can the largely unrecognised solution to the economic problems of the world. Malcolm be brought down, but the problem of unemployment remains a mystery to them Your listener David Spain has touched on Malcolm Fraser

to remove the cause and his logic is just as valid today as when it was written. Politicians do not argue against site-rent for revenue, last untried logical course of action. Rather they avoid it like the plague saying it is politically unacceptable, yet it remains the because it is an argument they cannot win. poverty. annual revenue is the cause of to collect site-rent as the major part of their irrefutable logic how the failure of governments PROGRESS AND government; and Henry George in his book value of landsites as the natural revenue of Long ago such great thinkers as Adam Smith and Herbert Spencer recognised the annual rental His remedy for unemployment was simply POVERTY (1879) spelt out in clear unemployment

and lobby against it. This happened in Denmark in 1957 despite the fact that the desired resul was being achieved. At the next election the government changed and so did the sustaining a vigorous and highly vocal and lobby against it. This happened in before a government will try it. of this remedy are very affluent MOH close to economic collapse must we come and capable of law The opponents campaign

is briefly how it works:

currently assessed. derivation from the Unimproved Value as by the Valuer General as a mathematical sliding scales and no exemptions granted to churches, charities or government organisations. The annual rent of each site will be determined. the existing mechanism for collecting sell, or forfeit the title. There are are compelled by law to pay the annual rental value of their sites into public revenue through The holders of all land titles of all categories There are to organisations rates, or

result are: Some of the things which would happen as æ

- Ine cve.
 Holders would thus cost of holding land would rise. ders would thus be forced to put land
- a consequence of holders getting rid of unused The price of land wou under-used lots. would fall dramatically
- amount lowered and home prices would fall by it because the land component thousands of Australian people previously denied Home ownership becomes possible for many O Ith home prices is that
- creates immediately boosted and the Employment in the building industry mediately boosted and the multiplier new houses. many more jobs than just those building effect
- savings on use will either employ more staff or pass Landholders putting sites to more efficient to consumers in lower prices of

employ significant numbers of workers and therefore less desirable than investment in investment and investors would seek placement in Money invested in land does not such would cease to be an attractive

Income tax, sales tax, company tax can be reduced by the amount of rent collected.

strangles the geese which lay the golden eggs. Progressive income tax as we know it, with its will to work hard. nightmare of sliding scales, concessions and rebates is Income tax is ill-conceived because it slowly discouragement to anyone with the 2748

AND POVERTY is recommended reading up at a later date. May I There are many more benefits to be gained m the 'remedy' which you might wish to take suggest that PROGRESS for

knowledgable commentators.

A S FURNISS

* * ** * * *** * * Glenorie NSW

THE BASIC CAUSE OF UNEMPLOYMENT

By S S GILCHRIST

of money in circulation. for employment is not concerned with the amount The basic cause of the lack of opportunity

The best way to explain this is to give some restrain, restrict, limit, discourage or prevent people from selling their services to others. group of Acts of Parliament which directly The basic cause of unemployment is the large

farmers outside the area (and prospective farmers within the areas) were now excluded from the opportunity to sell milk in the metropolitan area. So some farmers, mainly on the North Coast, went out of business, that is they became unemployed by law. Maybe only 5 or 6% became unemplyed. Perhaps they sold out, and disposed unemplyed. Perhaps they sold out, and disposed of the equipment cheaply to those who obtained right to sell certain quotas of milk. granted only Until a few years ago, the dairy farmers of NSW were all able to sell milk in the Sydney government granted privilege of a quota. Then a farmers in a certain area the legal government passed a law which The dairy

public is higher, and less milk can be purchased. milk which may be produced, the price of milk to because of the restriction on competition and increased security and better economic returns, while a small fraction of the people in that industry have been deprived of the opportunity people in a given industry have ben given to work What has been the general principle involved A fairly large section of able bodied y have been deprived of the opportunity for their Living. But that is not all;

milk production the prices is interesting that these 'quotas' for of these government granted can now be bought and sold, and

> from his business, an amount over and above the the normal return for labour and investment in production. This amount is clearly an extra impost at the expense of the rest of the community apart from causing unemployment for a they allow the holder to get an extra return dollars. Why are they worth so much? privileges now run to tens of thousands of Because

bought a car which is fully equipped as a taxi, and that the farmer has passed as a fully trained taxi driver. He now applies for a license for a taxi, and is happy to pay the fee. But what is he told?—'The number of taxi licenses are limited, matel'. So he is not allowed to be employed. However, he is told Note it need not be the driver who gets the unearned income; it is the owner of the 'plates'. Such taxi licenses are the government granted privilege to get income without work, risk or enterprise. And here again the extra income must come from the higher charges which the restricted number of taxis can charge, and the were worth that much? It is simply because the plates') may be willing to sell them. the ruling price recently being \$32,000, just for the 'plates'. Now why would anyone think plates that some people who have licenses ('taxi maintain and make cars. service and greater cost; all the expenses of keeping the taxi on the road needed to pay the wages of a driver and to cover like \$2500 per year over and above what is limited number of taxis can each get something dairy farmer has sold his farm equipment different sort. for the taxi driver but for those who assist employment opportunities are reduced, not only community suffers from both the restricted Now let's take an example of a quite Just imagine our North Coast and of course and

for the guaranteeing of some qualification, whether it be for a doctor, or a plumber. Sometimes it is a general legal acceptance in deserve from the remainder. Even if everyone was employed this would be an uneconomic situation, we can't all benefit from stealing from each other. Stealing is uneconomic. The through which government issues special privilege at the expense of the community: market price. Sometimes this may be in the form of licenses which limit more than is necessary of people working in the group so that they have monopoly which can demand above the reasonable organised business, seeks to limit the numbers nearly every industry, trade, and profession. Nearly every group of people in any sort of arbitration courts of unions picketting or 'demarcation'. There are a vast number of opportunities are restricted by government action. But this evil practice is applied to authorised to steal a little more than they all seek to guarantee extra benefit to each These are only two examples of how employment stealing, no matter how it licenses, zoning, subsidies etc. the expense of the rest. There are a vast number of laws Stealing is uneconomic. is legitimised, All are

the lower is the general standard of living. And of course it causes unemployment as well. and extra taxes are needed to support those who are producing nothing.

The main failing of our otherwise excellent private enterprise system is the huge amount of government granted privilege which is grafted on to it, and that is what will cause it to fail inevitably.

obvious limitation in the amount (but not necessarily value) of land sites, government can only give land privilege to any individual estimated that some 30% of people in Australia are landless, and depend on title holders for opportunity to use land. Needless to say the the title holder, to all the advantages of higher level of tribute. also withhold sites from use in order to seek a title holders with sufficient land can extract deprives one of employment opportunity. employment. title to a site is of course an opportunity for at the expense of depriving the remainder. from such opportunity. license which allows continued opportunity to site title is in fact a government granted granted titles to land sites and resources. tribute from the landless as existing organisation are the and far the biggest amounts of privilege in deprives the rest of the community Deprivement of access to land Because there is an rent. government They can ω

Government should steadily abolish all laws, which give special privilege to any industry, trade, individual, profession, union, or any association of able bodied people whatever. Government should remove the special privilege of site holding by steadily increasing site value taxation, as this is an entirely just and economic tax which encourages full employment of the best sites and encourages the full employment of people.

And that leads us to another cause of unemployment—the discouraging and preventive effect of Sales Tax, Income Tax and Pay Roll Tax etc. These act as deterrent fines every time a legitimate economic transaction takes place. A good government will steadily reduce taxation on transactions which cause prosperity, and will replace it with site taxation which itself causes prosperity.

Unless democracy is wise enough to steadily remove privilege from the private enterprise system, there will be continuing depressions.

If democracy foolishly tries to increase privileges then it will go the same way as Marie Antoinette.

The basic cause of unemployment is government granted privilege which allows some people to monopolise employment opportunities and exclude others. The Chairman of the ACTU is the head of

what is probably the biggest cartel of monopolies in Australia (the ACTU) and yet he would no doubt claim to be an anti-monopolist

would no doubt claim to be an anti-monopolist.

When privileges are wide spread, they more than cancel each other out, and they instead

make everyone worse off.

Conservative 'ruling class' governments seek to maintain privilege for a small group at the expense of the majority.

Unfortunately Reform type governments, when elected, do not remove or reduce privilege. They proceed on the silly course of seeking to issue privilege to everyone, which only leads to deprivation. You don't increase income by 'redistributing' it, quite the reverse.

You increase real incomes by allowing maximum opportunity and the minimum of restriction and minimum of privilege. The primary function of good government is to prevent people from stealing from each other, not to organise or legitimise it by issuing privilege.

*** *** *** *** *** *** ***

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Sir — Your February editorial rightly deplores the fact that society in its ignorance, is unable to identify economic rent as the natural revenue of society. Unfortunately, we appear to be intent upon defeating our efforts to enlighten the community through the confusion that lurks in our own minds on the rent question a confusion that inevitably creeps into and distorts the reasoning we offer the public in support of the Georgist proposition.

With due respect, I suggest that a thoughtful reader of G.G. must find himself really confused with what appears on page two. You say competition ... ensures that an increasing proportion of what tenants produce is not retained as personal income but paid over to land-owners as rent ... without any possibility of such payments being recovered by raising prices'.

This implies that tenants have a right to retain the economic rent and society therefore, should move to protect them against this legal robbery by land-owners since they cannot help themselves by raising the price of their products.

The 'thoughtful reader' now has to try and reconcile this 'right' of the tenant with the right of society to take the rent, a right which you demonstrate so well by showing that it is 'the corporate product of the people'. Obviously, the perplexed reader must be led by this ambiguity into the conclusion that if the land-owner as rent collector is robbing the tenant, then the robbery must still exist when society assumes the role of rent collector.

The truth, of course, is that the tenants are not deprived of any part of their personal earnings by landowners when they hand over the rent; (we are not now considering the incidence of speculative rent) that they are not a landless group forced to come to terms with either land-owners or society for the use of the land they rent, lease or buy; that what they do pay for today is the opportunity, not for access to land as the totally misleading article Justice and Land Tenure' appearing in the same issue of the journal takes as its theme, but for access to rent or the privilege of augmenting their incomes by that bonus which attaches to their particular site—an excess or differential in the income they can enjoy there over and above what they could get on an inferior site.

Until we are able to eradicate such confusion in our own thought through a more adequate grasp of the nature of economic rent, we seem doomed to go on damaging our public image.

I take no pleasure Sir, in having to direct this criticism at your otherwise excellent editorial but I have been running a bit of a fever since I had the painful experience of listening to a prominent Georgist on one of our most popular television programmes, making a pathetic attempt to explain our proposition in

pathetic attempt to explain our proposition in terms of hospitals and street sweepers. This is the only way I can get it out of my system. E B DONOHUE

Mr Donohue's criticism of the 'Viewpoint' article is, of course correct. Rent does not reduce wages. Degraded politically into land values, however, its speculative advance is at the expense of wages, and one is continually faced with the necessity of discussing it in two senses. From the tenor of the paragraph in particular and the article generally I do not think that an inference of a right of tenants to retain rent can be substantiated any more than it could be from 'Progress & Poverty', in which the same ambiguous usage occurs.

Any contributions from Mr Donohue or other sources that could assist in maintaining interest in the subject whilst avoiding this double usage would be most welcome. EDITOR.

Sir — Further to J C Shergold's letter (Progress, Aug. 1978) and other recent observations that Georgism is not winning, and why, I believe we must take serious note of some simple if unpalatable factors which appear to have been ignored to date.

According to P Raskall in the current Journal of Australian Political Economy (reported in Aust. Financial Review, Aug. 3) the richest 1% own almost three times (22% against 8%) the wealth of the poorer 50% of Australians.

The richest few percent—'the establishment'—quite obviously control Australia, including the media and education sectors. Institutions of both know well that they won't survive if they vigorously promote single-tax or other boatrocking theories which put 'the establishment' at risk. Their grants, advertising revenues, etc, will dwindle whilst the would-be reformers are hounded and persecuted for good measure and as grim reminders to the rest of us to stay in line.

Just look at the delicate task of getting even a Gough Whitlam into academe without jeopardising funds, or observe as in Progress, Dec/Jan 1978, that an academic expert on city land wheeling and dealing (Dr I. Sandercock) could write a book about it with '...only a few lines...' mention of Henry George. Progress, Aug 1978 also laments that Susan George, another land reform author '...has apparently never heard of her great namesake...' and admits that George Hardy, as 'Antimonopolist' could not be outspokenly Georgist.

The problem is not what arguments to use on the public but how to get to their eyes, ears and intimidated minds. Georgists are, effectively, a minor and ex-communicated splinter from a brainwashed society of closed minds. Compare us, e.g. with the negroes in 'Roots', kept in complete ignorance to maintain their docility.

This situation will continue whilst wealth and power remain concentrated, as illustrated above. The prerequisites for dispersing them and their respective corollaries of poverty and brainwashing intimidation are the opening to public scrutiny of wealth, its ownership, all business and government revenues, expenditures and contracts and open government from cabinet levels down through the bureaucracies.

As admitted in 'Interview With a Crusader', Progress, Aug.1978, The Henry George League is a pariah in this well-trained society, '...had the approach (George Hardy's) come direct from the League, they would not have invited us...'. On the other hand I believe the simpler policies of open business and government are politically saleable in a community continually paying lip service to their need, even whilst moving toward more secrecy, e.g. Freedom of Information Bill.

Nothing less can overcome the brainwashed apathy which presently foils all direct attempts to spread the more complex single-tax idea.

Energies should, I suggest, be turned to achieving openness first, unpalatable as that may seem to enthusiastic Georgists. Can this be debated in *Progress* now, I wonder, or are Georgist minds also closed?

Pearce ACT

BILL MASON Pearce ACT *** *** *** *** *** ***

**

AUGUST, 1978

expect to pay for services supplied by State Sir — Figures recently were published showing the percentage increase that Victorians can instrumentalities this year as compared with

Driving licence fees, 66%. They are: Electricity, 9%; Briquettes, 12%; Gas, 7%; Rail fares, 14%; Tram fares, 11.33%; Board of Works rates, 8%; Stamp duty, 11%;

have not commensurately increased. increased enormously over the last ten years but their contributions in land tax to government inflation. holding for At the same time a relatively small segment the population has been investing in land The value of their speculation or as a hedge against land holdings

land use to land hogging is essential. This means more land rental taxation, in place of taxes on incomes, goods and services. proportion of home ownership in the world, decade ago Australia, at 80% had the highest unemployment is high and saddle us with a major depression. cause of both indicators of deterioration. annually since. tenancy has been increasing at about I per ownership fundamental shift of the HUTCHINSON increasing concentration of property in fewer hands is building The high price of land is the burden of taxation from increasing. Already op to Until Melbourne This but cent

Sir -- Can any reader supply the source and exact wording of the following quotation, believed to come from the writings of sayin ** ** *** ** ** ች ች ች

of the popes? sayings

"They who make private property of the gifts of God pretend in vain to be innocent, for by appropriating their means of life they are the murderers of of it" those who die every day for the

Please send information to Box 175, Post LAKEMBA, NSW 2195, Australia.

** ** ** * ** ** ** W A DOWE

GEORGIAN LAND-LIBERATION IN NORTH EASTERN N S By D E SPAIN

diverse origins, are narmounter., (professional, artistic, artisan, labo being dissatisfied with the pollution, operatives, and, despite such open-ended, diverse origins, are harmoniously living upon what the mainstream very calls 'Hippie misconceiving) public loosely calls 'Hippie communes'. People from all walks of life '---f-co-f-onal artistic, artisan, labouring), coming together in this area, buying shares competitiveness of established society, inequality, exploitation and inhuman already being implemented in north-eastern NSW, (costing as little as \$400) upon what the mainstream (and often In practical terms, Georgian ideals are in land 00 and

> as many people, have been 'liberated' in this

home, and plant a reasonable area with life-support systems. Most people practice, since each nuclear family only needs an acre in this fertile, well-watered region, there is ample land for each shareholder. Provided settled neighbours are amenable, one maintained support systems. Most people, once established, do without motor vehicles, electricity and intellectual environment . extremely rich artistic, emotional and social fulfillment is cheaply provided telephone, some even have no income; health is commons or wilderness, and settlement is, transport and energy are widely utilized. by proper diet and exercise, restricted to certain areas, certain land is set aside 'Alternative' means camouflaged in the and as but in

sighted people. need for capital also to construct dams for open to such development. It is advisable for settlers to bring some \$4,000 for establishing sprinkling of not very industrious or farretaining wet-season run-off against the dry their orchard and building a home; the State Planning Authority to extend the area Although potentially idyllic, and in practiquite satisfying, there are difficulties to be facilities. in such instances homes may share common rural areas upon a few specific properties, permit the more-dense 'hamlet' settlement ilities. At present negotiations are under between the 'New Settlers', the Council an and of course, one gets a quarter Αŧ present local council will only the Council and and in practice and

while the other approximate quarters work seasonally, have private savings/income, or receive governmental social security. Falls, near Nimbin, with 250 settlers on about 2000 acres, this is only \$20 per annum for each resident. About one-quarter of the population, by the way, live (more or less) without money, administrative costs. After the initial purchase of a share, can expect a levy to be made against resi so as annually to cover rates, taxes and In our case, at Tuntable residents

next ten years, and style are centeredness in group-soul; co-operative, group-focussed (but monogamous!) living; self-sufficiency in agriculture and cottage craft; and harmony with Nature. It is envisaged that the entire north-eastern corner of NSW (the 'Rainbow Region') will become will and tolerance are invariable and essential tenets. Basic characteristics of Aquarian life here springs spontaneously from Liberty and the Heart: it is the emergent prototype of 'Aquarian or 'New Age' civilization. Individuality, free actualized on Planet Earth. saturated with such settlement, even over the Aquarian City-State, first to the sort of lifestyle evolving will come to flower as an

milling and quarrying, should only occur on a Other uses, such as growing of timber for of land growing fruit, nuts and vegetables is proper and reasonable use by an individual. animals destined to be slaughtered. There is presumption that intensive organic agriculture local communal basis and agreement. individual is entitled to occupy sufficient land privacy and security of domicile. gupport properly supporting his nuclear family. time is it proper to use land for grazing mals destined to be slaughtered. There i is given to the individual's Right to Each Αt g

practice), inhibit inflation (for which the exorbitant cost of land is a major contributing factor), free the market place from distorting interference, and assist the healthy decentralization of the cities because people that approach defined by the social philosopher Henry George, we propose that the entirety of Government income should be derived from site (a peculiarly permicious and anti-social Government occupied by an individual. So as to free vast tracts of misused or unused land from private ownership and for settlement by the landless masses, in supp then be able to afford land. that is, income will dampen land speculation from a tax upon the value of land This sole source for in support of

done to those who retain possession of holdings 'legitimately' acquired under the present system of land tenure. There will be no seizure of land nor its unapproved occupation. Our Revolution is one of Non-violent Intelligence! purposes, both as regards the NJM terrain and Creator's Failing the proper Liberation of Land (the where, we state that no violence will be gift to all) for such unifying

value thereof should not accrue to individuals The funds for such purpose will be raised from contributions by individual settlers or from donations by present inflated and distorted values. its roads, is created values are iniquitous because the value of land Rather, we will seek to purchase land at the by the surrounding community, with facilities and demands, such that altruistic benefactors. individuals. These the

will be punishment. contravening Supreme Intelligence and the Creator's Will. They may scoff for the present, maximum public good, subsequent to legislation those continuing to retain excessive tracts are dominated, imposing site rent, we take the attitude that Failing release of lands improperly privately such selfish retention is sinful and there so that they may be used for the

contrary to the will of present citizens, not at present sought or intended.
*** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** land by local about their position afterwards. event, donors will have no reason to complain Accordingly, we look for voluntary donation of private land to the Mandallo cause. In thi authorities or by any Government, Resumption of *** In this *. *.

> of purifying blinkered selfishness and sloth and of enlightening the benumbed, conditioned, apathetic and deluded masses. Thus, in a spirit of joyful, knowing laughter, amidst plenty and peace for all, nationwide and internationally, wither up and die. will engineer is only by enough sparks of the correct energy source of knowledge and example. liberate some land together, combine together, form a registered getting so partisan politicking and landlordism will coming together in a place like this, loving, organic community, and become a powerful their plainly-sensible arguments, is that they So my advice to Georgians, frustrated the partisan governments to listen (with Divine Grace) a Fire capable settle into To my co-operative, that we mind, ρ

receive no government dole, so I am only able to reply to those who send \$1 with their letter, so as to partially cover direct costs of the inevitable enclosures plus postage. I personally am willing to answer enquiries, and direct people towards others seeking built out of my savings, communal land, however, I live upon what I have I have no income and

David D'Elyan Spain Box 35 Nimbin 2484

PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION MANUAL AVAILABLE

- * Suitable for adoption and use in elections by and national clubs, societies, companies, councils, state governments.
- Manual contains instructions, worked examples
- hints and guidance for returning officers. Sample counting sheet included with manual. Retail price \$3.00 per copy (includes postage). 10 or more copies— \$1.50 each (includes
- branch: Available from the Secretary of each State postage).

Mont Albert, Victoria: Mr G Powell, 95 Windsor Crescent, Vic 3127.

South New South Wales: Box 305 South Australia: Mr D Crabb, Il Yapinga St.

N.S.W. 2001. 3058, GPO Sydney

Tasmania: Box 1370T, GPO Hobart, Tas.

MONTHLY MEETINGS HELD AT 143 LAWSON STREET, REDFERN

1st Monday, 6 p.m.

Australian School of Social Science (No January meeting)

2nd Tuesday, 6 p.m.

Henry George Foundation and Association for Good Government.

3rd Wednesday, 7.45 p.m.

Social Science Club.

Commemoration (No January or February meeting) October meeting is held as the Henry G George

December meeting is held 9 2nd Wednesday.

LAST Thursday, 6.15 p.m.

Proportional Representation Society. (No December meeting)

15

KEEP S YOUR READING!

BOOKS FROM THE ASSOCIATION FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT

	ORDER FROM THE SECRETARY PRICES INCLUDE POST	SECRETA	ROM THI	ORDER F	
\$1.0	Land-Value Rating (Lord Douglas of Barloch) \$1.0	\$1,00	.:,	rk (F Verinder)	My Neighbour's Landmark (F Verinder)
\$0.30	Effective or Wasted? \$0.3	\$1.00	*	•	The Law (F Bastiat)
	Democratic Elections: Your Vote-	\$3.50	:	(A R Cannon)	
\$0.60	The Effective Answer to Communism (Brown)		,	and Employment	Depression Inflation and Employment
\$0.50	Science and Civilisation (Lvy Ackroyd)		1-		er Te
\$0.50	~~	\$2.00	*	:	The Condition of Labour
\$0.60		\$4.00	:	•	A Perplexed Philosopher
\$0.60	Economic Liberalism (Knud Tholstrup)	\$4.00	:	:	Social Problems
\$0.60	Back to the Land (Dr Thomas Nulty)	\$4,00	•	ade	Protection or Free Trade
\$0,60	Dictator Democrat (Berens & Singer)	\$5,00	:	Economy	Science of Political Economy
\$4.00		\$3,00	:	(abridged)	Progress and Poverty (abridged)
	Rebel, Priest and Prophet—Biography of Dr	\$5.00		:	Progress and Poverty
					By HENRY GEORGE

ASSOCIATION FOR G00D GOVERNMENT

750 9110. 419 3632. Information call 3632. 638 7593. 699 7153.

e one year 2. Take a Government, or give one to a friend for \$3 (Australian) posted anywhere by surface mail.

3. Buy a book from the list above for yourself or for a friend.

4. Send a donation to the Association. Government as set out on page i you are invited to support our work in one of the following ways The annual subscription is only \$5 and includes a one year subscription to this journal.

Z. Take a one year subscription to Good IF YOU AGREE WITH the principles of Good Join the Association for Good Government. following ways:

- expressing your views in agreement or 5. Write an article or a letter to the editor,
- disagreement.
 6. Attend any of our meetings listed on page 15, at our rooms at 143 Lawson Street, Redfern, or other functions advertised from time to time.

payable to Please make all cheques or money orders yable to The Association for Good Governmid3 Lawson St. Redfern, NSW 2016, Austral Good Government, 2016, Australia.

Print clearly in block letters all names and addresses—identification is important—mail too easily goes astray.

To the Association for Good Government:

- . ∀. 18.
- send Good Government ੍ਹੇ

Remittance Name.. Address enclosed 69 code Post cheque cash

money

order

Membership

subscription \$5a

year

Please Please send these books Please accept my donation. to join the Association. years.

> HENRY GEORGE LEAGUES THROUGHOUT AUSTRALIA & . Z

VICTORIA

PROGRESS official monthly journal of the

HENRY GEORGE LEAGUE

31 Hardware St. Melbourne 3000. Phone 67

Annual subscription rates Australia and Oceania

\$3,00 £2,00 \$4,00 British Commonwealth Countries

All other places



QUEENSLAND

Phone 52 3192 THE LAND RENT LEAGUE Bird Street, Herston 0 4006

AL MEET ON COMMON GROUND«

SOUTH AUSTRALIA

HENRY GEORGE LEAGUE monthly meeting 3rd Tuesday at 7pm at 117 Greenhill Road, Unley. Enquiries to 24 Hawthorndene Drive, Hawthorndene, SA 5051 Phone 278 3918

WESTERN AUSTRALIA HENRY GEORGE

243 Newcastle ş Perth 6000

LEAGUE

TASMANIA

HENRY GEORGE LEAGUE

GPO Вох 1370P Hobart ⊺asma∩ia 7000

NEW ZEALAND

HENRY GEORGE LEAGUE PO Box 951 Wellington N Enquiries: Mr R D Keall, 45 Dominion Street, Tekapuna, Auckland N N

THE PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION SOCIETY OF AUSTRALIA NSW BRANCH welcomes people interested

proportional representation. Parliaments and other elected bodies through the use of the quota-preferential method of pamphlet in ensuring effective representation 9P0 Telephone enquiries 'Democratic Elections' send stamp to PO Box 3058, Sydney NSW 2001 Hephone enquiries 498 5559 For free copy of =;