

What would we do with Him? Would we, when stirred with the enthusiasm of His teachings, spread our coats and form branches in the way and then, after our meditation on the morrow, cry out Crucify Him! Crucify Him!

Where would we confine Him, in an almshouse, in a prison, or in an insane asylum, or would we be more merciful, merely crucify Him or stone Him to death?

OLIVER MCKNIGHT.

Philadelphia, Pa.

A TACTICAL SUGGESTION.

EDITOR SINGLE TAX REVIEW:

Now that we have a right to expect a revival of the movement in this country resulting from the English budget, would it not be well to anticipate it by the formation of literary clubs for the training of our colleagues in elocution, oratory and debate.

The rapid formation of Men's Leagues in the various churches, has stimulated the demand for speakers who can discuss the questions of the day. The ability and tactfulness of our Single Tax representatives at such meetings is of utmost importance to the movement, and therefore we should take time by the forelock to make sure that we are worthily represented.

These literary clubs could also be useful, by admitting outsiders to membership on the same basis as Single Taxers, as a means of interesting in our cause a certain class of young men who cherish the ambition to become public speakers.

I think the movement at present has enough men who are qualified to act as teachers, or "critics," and I hope they will give this suggestion some serious consideration before putting it aside. As to methods of teaching, that can be easily decided upon, and also the text-books. However, I would suggest that John P. Altgeld's little book on "Oratory" be read aloud and explained to the classes, as it adopts as its basic principle that no man can become truly eloquent except as the advocate of a great cause.

Philosophical Single Taxers will never

be anything more than an "army of generals," or a skirmish line of sharpshooters, and that's all we need to be if we can only "make good." We should not measure our efforts by the number of philosophical Single Taxers we have made by our propaganda. We should not waste time on individuals unless we see in them the qualities that make a general. Rather should our efforts be directed toward inculcating in the multitude even the smallest idea of the injustice, and especially, the undesirability of the present system, and the desirability of the exemption of improvements.

This can only be done by thoroughly explaining the nature of capitalized land value from a commercial standpoint if it bore no tax, then under the present tax, and lastly under an increase of taxation. The important point is to demonstrate that the selling price of land is the capitalization of that portion of the yearly rental value which the state does not appropriate to itself by taxation. It takes a trained man to do this effectively. Let us have more such men.—JOHN H. KELLY, Yonkers, N. Y.

FROM RAY STANNARD BAKER.

EDITOR SINGLE TAX REVIEW:

I read "Progress and Poverty" when a student in college to my great illumination; afterwards in Chicago I was a frequent attendant of the meetings of the Single Tax Club. I believe in the fundamental principles laid down by Henry George, though I do not think that an adoption of his plan would cure all our economic and social evils.—RAY STANNARD BAKER.

HOW THE SINGLE TAX DETERMINED A SCHOOL TAXATION.

EDITOR SINGLE TAX REVIEW:

The following statement of facts illustrates the practical side of the Single Tax:

The Presbyterians located one of their church schools at Tehuacana Hills in our state in 1869. After about thirty