Alfred Bishop Mason Passes

ALFRED BISHOP MASON is dead in Florence, Italy, where he has lived for a number of years, and was engaged almost to the very last in literary work. His most recent work was "Horace Walpole's England." As late as 1929 a novel appeared from his pen, "A Duchess and Her Daughters."

Twenty years ago he turned to the writing of juvenile fiction, and his stories had a wide vogue among the young. Before the appearance of "Progress and Poverty" he had written a "Primer of Political Economy" which showed the trend of his thought that made him a ready converet to the teachings of "Progress and Poverty," the doctrines of which he eagerly embraced.

The *Herald Tribune* of this city says of him: "He crowded several careers into his life. He was a corporation lawyer, a railroad president, an editorial writer, and a translator of books on economics and constitutional law."

He was at one time president of the American Cotton Oil Company and was one of the founders of the Provident Loan Association.

A busy and useful life is closed. Those who knew Mr. Mason, and they included most of the Henry George men and women in this locality, will recall his charming personality, his graceful faculty of speechmaking, and his courtly manners. He was a marked man in any company, and carried his years with amazing ease, as those present at the dinner given in his honor on his visit to the United States about two years ago will recall.

He was president of the Manhattan Single Tax for several terms. Born in 1851 he had seen much, written much and met many distinguished people. He was the son of R. B. Mason, once mayor of Chicago, and was a descendent of Stephen Hopkins, a signer of the Declaration of Independence; and Captain Levi Mason, of the Revolutionary army.

Dare Not Repeal It

(A. W. MADSEN, in Letter to the Memphis Conference)

NEVER in the history of our movement have we had such a responsibility to shoulder or such an opportunity for new advances placed at our feet. It is an opportunity to use, with the assistance of all who will make common cause with us. It is ours to organize and rally again the powerful sentiment that exists in this country for the land value policy, a sentiment created by years of persistent endeavor, its influence proved in the three great parliamentary victories of 1906, 1910 and 1931 and in the demand on the part of hundreds of British municipalities for legislation to take public revenue from the public value of land.

If the sentiment for the Land Value Policy did not exist in the constituencies, if it did not have a dominating place in the programmes of both Labor and Liberal parties, no such victory as that in 1931 would have been possible. Even now, the worst that our National Government dare do in compliance to Tory hostility is to suspend the operation of the Land Value Tax measure embodied in the Finance Act of last year.

To have repealed the Land Value Tax Act would have broken up the National Government, as responsible Ministers have freely admitted. Repeal would have aroused the same "seven devils" as were feared by the Conservative leader, Mr. Baldwin, when his party in 1917 wantd to destroy the 1910 Finance Act; and Mr. Baldwin the Conservative leader, refused for the same reason to permit the repeal of the 1931 Finance Act. He knows what it means to antagonize the sentiment for Land Value Taxation. The 1931 legislation stands on the Statute Book to be put into force and extended as soon as the present administration gives place to one more progressive. The day of change may not be far distant, if recent by-elections registering an immense turnover of votes against the Government, are any criterion. The reaction against protection has set in.

George L. Record's Conviction

(From a Letter to the Memphis Conference)

N my judgment a great reform cannot be predicated upon financial advantages. It must be founded upon an appeal to the sense of justice of the average man. What ever advantages can be shown were justified, and it is shrewd policy to show, but stripped of all verbiage what we really propose to do is to confiscate the value of the land which the land-owner owns, for which he has paid money. If we ever reach the political stage the land-own ers will organize against us, and we will have a fierce battle over this point, which does not now come up in our discussion in any practical way; but if you appeal to a man' moral sense you are on solid ground, and our appeal or that side is powerful. We should try to show that the ownership of land as at present defined, involving the power to hold land out of use either for speculation or to sustain monopoly, is a privilege, exactly as slavery was and that the effect of it ultimately will be to destroy this Republic. We should use Lincoln's analysis of slaver and his conclusion that slavery would have to be abolished or the country would become all slave, and his appeal t the moral sense of the community for the right of the slav to eat the bread which he had earned by the sweat of hi brow. That is the widest and strongest appeal we can make. Jefferson said, "that the land belongs in usufruc to the living," and if there is any moral truth in this work that is true.

The value of the land is created by the community an belongs to the community by every moral law to whic the average man yields consent. At this particular tim we should stress the point that the tremendous rise in lan