

of employment and you will see at once that attention is going to be forced upon the land question in England.

The argument has started, and we shall take it up and make it hotter and hotter.

The unemployment question is the land question. You call it poverty; you call it unemployment; it is all the same thing. The trade unions and trade union leaders who are trying to better the condition of their members have misled their members. The trade unions are now being forced to accept reductions in wages.

The Church is still influential in England.

The Archbishop of Canterbury, after a brief consideration of the problems, referred to the depression in England as an industrial blight. "What I think is necessary is a revival of religion." I think he is right about this. If Englishmen would take the religion of Henry George, and give up their privileges in land, they could accomplish much.

We next come to the Tory party—the old responsible party of England. They are a fine bunch. Mr. Baldwin is the cleverest leader they could have selected. But as to the unemployment question; what does he say about it? What does he say about the land question, which they do not like to admit as being the same? He says "I do not know anything about it, and I have never professed to know. If the people want redemption, they must redeem themselves."

Now as to the Liberals. Mr. Lloyd George, who won the war, said that he was going to make England a land fit for heroes. It seems to me you have to be a hero to live in England now.

On occasions when the land question was investigated, research committees said that the land of England belongs to the people. But the land is the gift of the Almighty to less than 1,000 people in England. God has evidently forgotten the other forty million.

The Labor Party is making a very much needed protest against the unequal distribution of the wealth that is being produced in England.

Is there a hint in any of these parties that they begin to know anything about this land question? I cannot discover it. I do not see that they are trying to do anything to solve the problem. Can you look for any help from any of these parties?

It is true that the Liberal Party has been flirting with the Taxation of Land Values for over forty years. But try to look for anything effective, and there is nothing in any of them.

What about the Taxation of Land Values people? They are all good people. Enough has been said as to the injury that has been done to the cause of Henry George by the use of Tax and Taxes. There is no appeal in the Taxation of Land Value at all. It is advisable for you who call yourselves Single Taxers to examine why it is that you have not made more progress.

You point to Australia and say, you have Land Value Taxation there. Actually land monopolies are more firmly entrenched in Australia than ever.

Henry George has not failed. He has never been tried. But Taxation of Land Values will always fail. When you say to a man "Don't you pay your taxes, they are all unnecessary," he will not understand you. Tell him "If you have land pay the rent of it" and he will answer you, "That would suit me fine." The idea is at once clear to him. The truth is that so long as land value taxation is mixed up with taxes on the products of labor, you will have land monopoly, and will have it entrenched for all time.

A few of us got together under the leadership of Mr. Outhwaite, who is a passionate lover of freedom, and we said this attempt to compromise with a principle is bound to fail and the attempt only wastes time. This attempt to get 20% justice is a waste of time. Let us go to the people and say to them, "You have a right to live on earth." Let us go to them and ask them—Will you stand behind us if we go and demand the equal right of every man to the bounties of nature and attack the robbery of taxation?

Do you wonder that, seeing the truth, we decided to go out on our own when we found Mr. Snowden telling the people we are going to buy the land of England for the people of England and it is not going to cost you a penny. How does he explain that? He means to say they are going to pay for it in paper. Well of course it is crooked. I do not like to attribute such motives to people; I really think it is ignorance. Among so much ignorance there is no room for sin.

We mean to go at it definitely and work at it definitely. We will go out for the by-elections. At the expenditure of very little money we will make more impression on the people of England than those of our friends who operate through the Labor, or any other existing party.

Henry George in Andorra

THE oldest republic in the world, Andorra, after 1119 years of freedom has been sold to a gambling syndicate and will be turned into a rival of Monte Carlo. A recent article in the *Boston Globe* says this:

"Early in the present century, a Massachusetts man, Fiske Warren, an ardent Single Tax disciple of Henry George, became interested in Andorra and its marvelous longevity. He declared that the secret of Andorra's success was that there the land was community owned and monopoly could not raise its head. So he settled in Andorra and began to propagate the philosophy of Henry George. This Single Tax colony still thrives. It was the only thing Andorrans ever paid any attention to, from the outside world, until last May, when Parliament decided to issue postage stamps. Till then, any Andorran who wanted to write a letter sent for stamps to France or Spain."