

all the fish caught would be bought, and the questions which trouble the fisher syndicates of this coast would vanish. The plan, therefore, is to increase demand, and this can only be done by such a rise in the wage level as will enable people to buy what they ought to have. Fishermen and others would then laugh out of court the very idea that they must not take the fish which come to their net.

Can it be done? It is often said that France, being a country of peasant proprietors, has no land question. But I find the fact to be that though the land here is very much parcelled out, there none the less exists a large class with no rights in land, and which therefore has to work for wages. This landless class in the part of France where I am, cannot even earn so much as the fishermen, and as theirs is the simplest form of labour, it is their wage level which puts a limit on all others, including the fishermen's and packers'. Though this landless class is here, one also sees all round the towns well placed idle lands displaying the familiar device "Terrains à Vendre." This is the land held by speculators, not to use, but to keep out of use till would-be users come up to their figure. It makes one feel quite at home. This is a lively cause of complaint in the district, and while it goes on depressing the wage of a landless class which competes with the fisherfolk, it seems clear that if the Syndicalists continue on their present lines they may as well shut up shop for all the good they can do. It is the old, old story once more.

For whether the masters be good or bad as individuals, they are bound to take advantage of the cheap labour which throws itself at them. Absolutely the only radical thing is to get rid of this cheap supply at the bottom which pulls down all wages above it. This cheap labour at the bottom would, to a certainty, melt away were the taxing power of the State so applied that no man could hold more land than he is able to make the most productive use of. Were that step taken, all unused land would at once become free land. That is, it would be available to labour and capital without payment either of rent, rates or taxes. Much of this free land would be of high productive capacity, and within easy reach of populous districts. It would provide ample means of livelihood to those whose helpless condition now threatens fishermen's wages. In a perfectly natural way the cheap labour at the bottom would disappear. Nothing could then stop a large rise in fisherfolk's wages, and that without the help of any Syndicalist organization. Our goal would be reached in the natural and, therefore, the only certain way, and where then would be the bother about big catches? Buying capacity being able to absorb them all, our Syndicalists might well cease from worry.

This is the law of life—the way of wisdom. We and the Syndicalists may refuse to follow it, but none of us can escape the consequences, one of which we find in this beautiful Saint Jean de Luz—the absurd irony of abundant food and underfed people. I have merely stated facts as they are.

By FREDK. VERINDER

MY NEIGHBOUR'S LANDMARK. Short Studies in Bible Land Laws. Second Edition. Paper covers, 1s., cloth, 2s.

LAND, INDUSTRY AND TAXATION. Cloth 1s.

FREE TRADE AND LAND VALUES. 1d.

IS THERE A CURE FOR UNEMPLOYMENT? 3d.

METHODS OF LAND NATIONALIZATION. A brief, critical Examination of some Proposals of the Land Nationalization Society. 2d.

From our Offices.

LONDON HOUSING CONDITIONS

What it Means to Live in Slums

"These Ninety Years," the Annual Report of the London City Mission, adopted at the Queen's Hall, 13th May, recites these stories of abject poverty:

A Hoxton worker tells of eighteen persons in a four-roomed cottage. "This was fairly crowded, until the man downstairs sublet a room to his married daughter, who, with her husband and five children, raised the total to twenty-five." From Clapham we learn of *twelve persons in one room*. "The houses here are old and dilapidated: death-traps in some cases, and packed to an unmentionable degree." Stories from Bethnal Green and Shoreditch, of verminous walls, insanitary conditions, of sleeping quarters "knocked up roughly in back yards," make one shudder. "B. and his family of six were herded in a small room. One day their few sticks were shifted into the gutter, as the rats which infest this quarter had got into the room and into the bed! 'Where am I to go?' he pleaded. 'What are we to do?'" Another family of eight live in a one-roomed hovel twelve feet square. "The man and wife and two small children share the bed; the rest manage to 'shake down' on the floor. The other night another child arrived—imagine it (!)." This is even worse: "Here in Holloway the housing problem is not only acute, it is *killing*. Seven and more people in a single room, and in one such room *a birth and a death have been known to occur simultaneously.*"

Speaking at Chester, on 23rd March, Mr. E. Green, formerly Chairman of the city's Housing Committee, said many families in Chester were living and sleeping in one room. There were no means of separating the sexes, and there had been cases where confinements had taken place in the one living and sleeping room. During the war, the churches were recruiting stations. If they played a similar part in rousing the conscience of the nation on the housing question, it would bring the solution of the problem appreciably nearer.

HERBERT QUICK

As we go to press we regret to learn of the death of Herbert Quick, which took place at Columbia, Mo., U.S.A., on 10th May. He was stricken of heart failure after an address to students attending journalism week at the University. Herbert Quick's name is known and revered all round the globe for his able writings on land reform. He is probably best known to our readers by his Single Tax novel, *THE BROKEN LANCE*. He was a voluminous writer on many other topics as well. His latest contribution to the literature on the land question, *THE REAL TROUBLE WITH THE FARMERS*, was reviewed by Alexander Mackendrick in the February issue of *LAND & LIBERTY*. It is work that from first to last strengthens the case for the land value policy as the only genuine and enduring reform, and goes to show that without this practical remedy the farmers are not likely to get into any better environment.

In acknowledging our notice of the book the author wrote to Mr. Mackendrick: "I have to thank you for the best review the book has received."

In Herbert Quick's passing we have to mourn the loss of an able and convincing writer, but we can be glad and grateful for his long years' service in the cause. *THE REAL TROUBLE WITH THE FARMER* marks the place where Herbert Quick fought and fell in the struggle for economic justice.

We extend our sympathy to his widow and to his immediate circle of friends in their great loss.