national oil companies are interested in preserving.

Secondly, the U.S. is opposed to exports to the Soviet bloc, of goods which will "strengthen Soviet military or industrial potential." No doubt ships would fall into this category as could almost any kind of export.

After a general criticism of East-West trade, a House of Representatives' sub-committee report issued in February, described the Soviet move as an "oil offensive" and complained that West European countries are creating a major market for the Russian bloc countries and allowing them "to invade and disrupt certain commodity markets in the free world."

If any invasion is taking place it is the invasion of freedom to trade—and this is done, paradoxically enough, under the banner "defence of freedom" and under the pressure of sectional interests.

GROWTH-IN MONEY SUPPLY

MR. A. LEWIS asked the Chancellor of the Exchequer in a written question, January 29, whether he was aware that since 1951 the £ sterling had depreciated in purchasing value by 27 per cent. and what was the reason for this. Mr. Barber (Financial Secretary to the Treasury) replied: "The increase in prices and the consequential fall in the purchasing power of the £ has been mainly due to the excessive growth in money incomes compared with the growth of national production."

Since the growth in money incomes (as distinct from growth in real incomes that are measured in terms of wealth, not paper) can come about only by the Government increasing the money supply, the statement put in simple and understandable terms, should read: "... the fall in the value of the pound has been mainly due to production not keeping up with the Government's note-printing activities."

SOME of the grants made to farme	ers in	the year ending
March 31, 1962 (Hansard, Janu	uary).	
General fertiliser subsidy -	todici	£32 m.
Lime subsidy	LISTOU	£8 m.
Ploughing-up grants -	dor si	£9 m.
Calf subsidy		£14 m.
Hill sheep and hill cattle subsid	ly .	£2 m.
Farm improvement grants	SELOI	£7 m.
Grants to small farmers -	ur-	£6 m.

STEADY ADVANCE

From the 18th annual report of the New Zealand League for the Taxation of Land Values Inc. (President Mr. Rolland O'Regan)

RESULTS OF RECENT RATING ROLLS

Successful

5.00	. Vinni	1 7 0	683
nida ida	TOIRE	SE SI	136
to 1	Toge	ย์ รเบ	1,037
1	52.01	Total	540
1.770	12 11	sin 8	188
-	16 10	Simo	523
i aw	orH	effol.	439
9711	isdi	ships	26
tinU	oriz	pears	gs 17
of vi	s like		
ngilla	nterm	in ad	320
HTOW	agu	TO. Y	351
	on the control of the	could invo- assian bla- com the aport of mouths as for it to-sell in a Linow, it were to a were to a were to the that the that the rounds it	N. Sterupla, itry could involve could involve strom the strom the from the some months onties for it some involve to greater the ding of ships lydeside shiph oil is likely to oil is likely to oil is likely to he work

PRESENT RATING POSITION

78 out of 118 Counties, 118 out of 143 Boroughs, and 19 out of 27 Towns now rate on Unimproved Land the tenants will have to continue to pay market rents, but

NATIONAL LAND TAX

In 1960/61 the Land Tax amounted to £1.8 million. In accordance with the long established practice of keeping the tax round the million pound mark, a 50 per cent. rebate of Land Tax was made in the 1962 Budget.

AMOUNT OF LAND VALUE COLLECTED

In 1960 the amount of land value collected was approximately £24 millions. This sum capitalised at 5 per cent = £480 millions and represents the amount by which the market value of land has been reduced by the land value tax.

In 1960 the total unimproved value of land paying rates (i.e. excluding Crown Lands) was £824 millions. This estimate is conservative as are all government valuations. Increasing this amount by one sixth to allow for this factor would give after taxation a total unimproved value of £960 millions. This would indicate that at present one third of the total economic rent of New Zealand is collected for public purposes by direct taxation.