But with her went from out our social skies

A light, and voice like a remembered song.

Some saints have lived who on the ensanguined field

Walked with the balm of healing in their hands:

And not until the eye of God is sealed Fadeth the glory where some woman stands.

Shedding strange radiance from her tender eyes;

Now in the town, and now in court or camp-

Some woman with her deed of sacrifice, Lighting the world like an eternal lamp.

And she to whom War's tragedy of pain Had brought its tears-whose husband, brother, friend

Passed in the cannonading to the slain-Walked with her lonely sorrow to the end.

But in that sorrow's self-forgetfulness She wrought whose splendid task is done too soon;

Because she lived, the evil days are less Bridging these civic nights to highest noon.

And mid the populous town, its walls that rise,

Its massive structures wrought of myriad hands,

This story of a woman's sacrifice Shines like a beacon where the city stands.

This shall outlive its mortar and its stone, This shall be told where cities rise and fall:

A woman working in its ways alone With loving hands built bastions round its wall.

## FAILURE.

The Great Financier sat in his sumptuous office and thought nestly.

"My life has been a great success. I have secured everything that the heart of man could desire, money, 'fame, power-everything."

"But you have not secured me," whispered a something from out the surrounding cilence.

"What are you?" queried the Great L'inancier.

"I am Love."

"But I have secured control of the money of the country. I have secured control of the coal mines of the country. I control the grain markets, the railroads, the mills and the factories."

"But you do not control me." said a chilling voice from out of the surrounding silence.

"What is it that I do not control?" queried the Great Financier.

"I am Death."

And when they found the Great

Financier in the morning they found him captive instead of captor.-The Commoner.

## GEORGE FOSTER PEABODY'S DEM-OCRATIC SANITY.

This letter from George Foster Peabody, of the great banking house of Spencer, Trask & Co., New York, and until recently the treasurer of the Democratic National Committee, strikes a clear and true note of genuine democracy. It was published in the Brooklyn Eagle of January 5, and is reproduced here as an indication of hopeful tendencies of the radical sort among conservative men.

My attention has been called to a complimentary reference to me in your editorial columns of December 15, which issue of the paper I did not see.

I thank you for your designation of me as "safe and sane." May I venture upon your further courtesy to presume upon the patience of your readers who, though not interested in personal views, are rightly interested in the attitude of Democrats to the subtle and grave dangers that now confront the country? I am in sympathy with the position of those Democrats called by the Auburn Citizen "the liberal element" in the party; with the exception that I think the party should go farther than some "liberals" may now be ready to go.

I trust and believe that I am both "safe and sane" in my Democracy, but I gather from the article that there are differences of interpretation of that phrase. It is, I think, both "safe and sane" for party managers to be true to the platform and honestly advocate the principles proclaimed. I believe it is "sane" to look facts in the face and strive to deal with the dynamics of the matter in hand, and that it is always "safe" to trust the people to manage all of their affairs. Especially "sane and safe" is it to trust the people about rights granted by the community-such as the use of the public streets on and above, as well as below, the surface, and corporate claims based on the grant of the public right of "eminent domain."

I believe that the time has come for the Democratic party to be true to its foundation principles of human liberty and personal rights. I believe these include the common rights of the whole community, and we should find the righteous method of now applying them to the conditions created by the present enormous production of wealth by the brains and hands of men made more efficient through education.

of to-day is that the average man does not have continuous employment, and further that the whole surplus production beyond the daily consumption, is to so large an extent divided among the few who may not unfairly be called "possessors of privileges." I think that three sources of privilege will account for this: First, franchise privilege granted by representatives of the people; second, taxation of the many for the benefit of the few, the so-called protective tariff; and, third, increasingly concentrated control of land, the foundation source all wealth. The special fact der the third clause is the almost invariable valuation of land for taxation on a basis of favoritism for the holders of unimproved land, and also to the great advantage of those holding large tracts of land.

Holding these convictions, I favor an early organization of the rank and file of those Democrats who believe that personal and public rights can be now enforced with due regard to property rights-Democrats who mean to make the fight to do this on definite lines of principle, win or lose, at the next election. I favor, therefore, a simple and short and frank platform, that is radical in saying what it means. Such a platform will drive from the party those who have been in the past so skillful in explaining away the indefinite platform attacks upon the Republican protective tariff as put in for effect; that is to say, in bad faith. I believe that with such a platform the party will be practically single-minded if it shall find a leader who will be trustworthy because he is experienced and of known character and capacity and believes in the platform; one who is not merely concerned to get into office on a popular wave of disgust with the party in power; not a man who makes rash promises to right every wrong, but a man who believes in making haste surely, if slowly, to right great and fundamental wrongs, which have been intrenched through generations by cunning legislation, and too often by collusive executive action or omission.

I would assert in such platform government ownership as the future policy for all businesses based on rights to use of streets or roads or the exercise of eminent domain, as the only equitable solution of this greatest modern problem. I would assert the necessity of municipal operation of street railroads and lighting facilities, A patent fact in the social structure as of water distribution, as the only



sure reliance for pure politics in our vast centers of population and to offset the serious hindrances caused by street mains and railroad construction and operation, and to avoid unnecessary duplicate and competitive construction, always in the end paid for by the people. I think a sound Democratic platform should oppose the proposition of the Republican President to have railroad rates fixed by a commission who will deal with the managers of what is still private property; such power must in the nature of the case produce a crop of scandals, and the most compact machine for political influence the world has ever known.

The private toll roads of early days were made public highways free to all travelers and commerce. A score of years of practical experience in official relation to the modern highway of commerce, the railroad, convinces me that neither economy nor efficiency will permit the use of these highways by separate owners of engines and cars, and that consolidated and cooperative management is essential to their largest usefulness. I have for ten years or more held the confident opinion that government ownership of all railroads was the one final solution; but the details must be worked out patiently and the steps taken conservatively.

I believe that economic laws, (although hidden), are as sure in their working as the law of gravitation. The concentration of control of the railroads of the United States into comparatively few hands was not the definite conscious purpose of these few, but has in effect been forced by busines conditions and the economic competition which, because of the instinctive even though unconscious hunger for land monopoly, built railroads both too fast and too poorly. The combination of the protective tariff and the land monopoly, as in the case of the United States Steel Corporation, adds force to the argument that these few but great corporate interests when concentrated will practically control the government unless the government now deals with the evident dangers on some basis of economic law. The now compact Anthracite Coal Combine which has the double strength of land monopoly and railfoad corporation, is another instance in point.

The temptation is perhaps natural to consider these stupendous aggregations of corporate wealth which so

challenge both admiration and antagonism as the cause of many evils; they are an effect of the cause—legislation based upon false economic standards. We should be careful to seek for the basic and not the superficial remedy. The true remedy must be found through righteous and equitable taxation of all corporate values—and until the government shall recover for the people the franchise values they should be taxed as is the case in the State of New York.

Do the officials of any of these monopolies ask that the tax assessor place their properties on the tax list at the value quoted on the market for the securities? A former president of the Steel Corporation stated under oath that the iron ore lands were of sufficient value to justify the full par issue of the common stock. Would the directors welcome the assessment of those lands at the value their president swore to? Again the present price of the Great Northern Railway stock is believed to be based upon the confidence that the iron ore lands held by the company will in the future prove of untold value. Would that company, organized to operate a railway as a public carrier, retain these lands unused if they were taxed at the value indicated in the market price of the stock?

I hope that the Democratic party will in this matter follow the lines indicated by the Liberal party of England in its advocacy of the principle of ground rent taxation.

I would not ignore the fact that individuals of wealth, and corporations, honestly believe that they pay an undue share of taxes; but they are, I think, deceived as to the rightful owners of the increment in land and franchise values. Do we ever hear of any great railroad or other corporation using publicly its great influence in behalf of a strict enforcement of the law that all property shall be assessed at its full value? Full and fair taxation is the government's right and true remedy for very many of the crying ills of the day. When the life of the nation is supposed to be threatened by war the propriety and honesty of compelling a man to give his time and risk his life, and also pay extraordinary taxes, is admitted. Is not the true life of the nation more seriously endangered by unjust taxation and the misuse of governmental powers than by any war?

The Democratic party can and should deal with the dangers that con-

front the country and promptly propose a sound economic remedy with righteous principles of taxation and the return to simplicity and economy by an immediate reduction of our army and navy.

## THE DEBT OF AMERICAN DEMOCRACY TO GREECE.

Speech of Judge Edward Osgood Brown, of Chicago, at the first American meeting held at Huli House, Chicago, under the auspices of Miss Jane Addams in cooperation with the Greek colony of Chicago, January 3, 1906. The president of the organization committee was the Rev. Leon Pegeas, the secretary was Dr. C. Petroulas, and the subject for discussion was "The Progress of the Greeks in America and Their Relations with the American People."

I am asked to-night to speak to the men and women of the Greek Colony of Chicago a few words of the welcome that we of this great western city of the plains, who love "with the veneration of worshipers and the gratitude of children," the country from which they came, are glad to big them.

As I thought of what I might say, there came to me the question: Are we not all in this western world colonists of Greece in a sense essentially true?

If the mark of a colony is indebtedness to the mother country, not alone for material and physical ancestry, but for political institutions and laws, for culture, for the arts of expression in literature and design, for great molding and guiding principles in philosophy and the conduct of life, for all that which stamps what is best in a developing community with its impress, are we not all colonists of Greece? Is not the only Chicago which we can really love a Greek Colony?

From the great works of Greek genius have sprung, directly or indirectly, all the noblest creations of the human intellect. To all the great minds who have struggled for liberty since the age of Pericles, the spirit of Greece has been inspiration. By the pursuits in which she taught men to engage, have they been made wiser, happier and better. Fresh in eternal youth, her glory and influence will survive so long as civilization lasts.

How, then, can we fail of an affectionate interest in those who, with the same intellectual and moral indebtedness as we to those wonderful men who were the proud offspring of her youth, belong also to them by physical kinship and descent?