Allen, and advertised on another page. Ernest Howard Crosby's "Earth for All Calendar," now out of print, will be remembered. This little book of 119 pages and cover is somewhat similar in design, with extracts from Henry George's writings for every day in the year under the names of Single Taxers past and present, and their birthdays.

The list of Henry George men is not of course complete, but it is a pleasure to note this army of workers who pass in review. The citations from Henry George's works are made with excellent judgment. It is admirably adapted for a gift in the holiday season that is approaching. The work may be had of the Robert Schalkenbach Foundation, 11 Park Place, New York City.

J. D. M.

## **BOOK REVIEWS**

ECONOMICS MADE READABLE \*

Some of our readers will recall "Meet General Grant," in which biography was made intimate and fascinating. In this work by the same author he says: "I do not wish to be clever, or amusing, or brilliant." Despite this he succeeds in being all three without being profound, or in all places at all fundamental.

First of all it seems to us that Mr. Woodward fails to see the natural laws of distribution. We do not like his contemptuous reference to Adam Smith, for we think that again he misinterprets or misunderstands the laissez faire doctrine.

He seems to think that when Adam Smith spoke of economic forces as moving in masses he ignored the human agency which determine their direction. He says: "Adam Smith lived under a social system utterly different from the American civilization of today."

The exact contrary is the truth. In Adam Smith's time the world was protectionist as it is today. His work did much to weaken, doctrinally at least, the hold that protection, or the "mercantile theory," had upon the world. It is true that he advocated "unrestrained commercial and industrial competition," but it is not true that this involves, as Mr. Woodward asserts, the principle of "the devil take the hindmost." As far as he saw, he wanted the race to start with no handicap to any of the participants. It was for this reason that he worked for the abolition of monopolies. He made mistakes, it is true, but Mr. Woodward is unfair—preposterously so—in speaking of the "obvious senility" of his ideas.

What troubles Mr. Woodward throughout is the notion that economic laws are greatly complicated. Adam Smith offends him by his simplicity, and yet the economic laws are simple and all men have to do is to discover and follow them. This Mr. Woodward fails to see.

Yet we should not be ungrateful. He punctures a lot of economic fallacies. He has humor and incisiveness. He is awake to the humbug that so-called statesmen practise. He says of the advice of financial big wigs that it is "not worth the paper it is written on."

He is quite wrong, we believe, in the importance he attaches to speculative losses in the stock market and the stock market itself, but he breaks the force of this by saying that "the stock market is a thermometer, not a force."

He is unnecessarily worried about "profits," and about the relation between "selling price and real value." In the absence of monopoly there would be a constant tendency toward an equilibrium between cost and price. That Mr. Woodward does not see this is due to his failure to recognize the law of competition and its beneficence. And to this in his present state of mind we feel it is quite hopeless to appeal.

There are some wise words on the light and power question. There is humor and real cleverness, despite the author's disavowal of any intention to be witty or clever, in his comment on Charles W. Barron's diaries. He says:

"He did not realize it, I am sure, but the picture he painted is that of a crowd of vulgar little boys who have raided the jam closet and are running around with the jam smeared on their faces."—Page 79.

Mr. Barron, it should be said for those not aware of the fact, is the editor and proprietor of the Wall Street Journal.

Mr. Woodward's idea of money as a *medium of power* needs the comment that such power lies in the fact that money commands the control of wealth and natural resources. That is all.

Speaking of the wealth of the nation he is skeptical, as he may well be, of the figures that have been pompously paraded. He points out that in these estimates are included the land values of the country. In the discussion of this phase of the question he is under few delusions. He says:

"All this involves one of the most curious of economic paradoxes. Land-owners in large cities are few in number, compared to the great mass of the population. The paradox is that the people themselves create the land values and pay the land-owners for the values which they themselves have brought into being.—page 110.

His picture of the Wendels sitting "like somnolent spiders in their web" is excellent. But we doubt if he fully realizes what economic rent is or what would be the effect of its collection for revenue. A reading of "Progress and Poverty" might help him if he is not too much committed to the doctrine that what is required are complicated remedies rather than this very simple one.

In a review such as this calling for an economy of space we are conscious that full justice cannot be done to the author and his work. Readable books on economic and social problems are so scarce that a work as entertaining as this is a real relief.

We are aware of the injustice done not alone to the author but to the readers of this review as well, that all of the economic fallacies and somewhat superficial conclusions cannot be pointed out. But we should hesitate in such a task for we would not like to do this to an author who has provided such rare entertainment. We say this despite the author's opening sentence: "I hope to God no one will enjoy reading this book." We realize that Mr. Woodward is deeply in earnest, and for this reason can overlook this very hurried and rather journalistic excursion into the domain of economics. J. D. M.

# Correspondence

A TRIBUTE TO CHARLIE OGLE

EDITOR LAND AND FREEDOM:

Something over twenty-five years ago I had the pleasure of meeting for the first time Charles J. Ogle, introduced by Dr. Hill, then a prominent Single Taxer of Baltimore City. He expressed to me Mr. Ogle' strong desire to enter active work for the levying of all taxation upouland values. This introduction threw us much together until my departure from Maryland in 1924. During almost, or quite all of thi time, we were closely associated in the Maryland Tax Reform Association and Maryland Direct Legislation League. From 1924 till the time of his death, Mr. Ogle acted as secretary of these organization under two able presidents.

The organizations I have named put through two advantageou constitutional amendments and a number of legislative acts. It is th truth, that without Mr. Ogle this work would not have been accomplished. His quiet but never ending persistency, coupled with the acmirable aid of Mrs. Ogle, almost literally moved mountains.

The practical and the immediately attainable, strongly appeale to Mr. Ogle and enlisted his active service. As a consequence his wif and children can point to a long record of achievement. In detail h contributed vitally to a constitutional amendment making the Singl Tax possible when Maryland wants it and meanwhile making it feasible in minor jurisdictions, the best referendum—that is, most easily work able—in the United States, exemptions of improvements and persons property from taxation in many Maryland towns, and other measure Meanwhile, he defeated many bad propositions. One of Maryland most useful citizens has passed away. The reform for which we stan

<sup>\*</sup>Money for Tomorrow. By W. E. Woodward, 12mo., clo., 315pp. Price \$2. Liveright Inc., Publishers, New York City.

has lost one who cannot readily be replaced. And many of us have lost an intimate and most esteemed and loved friend.

Palo Alto, Calif.

JACKSON H. RALSTON.

#### RENT AND PRICE ON MAIN STREET

EDITOR LAND AND FREEDOM:

Permit me to submit a few thoughts on this much-discussed question, "Does Rent enter into Price?" A and B are two farmers growing cotton. A, uses land below the margin which is rent free. He averages, we will say, about one bale to the acre. B, uses much better land which yields two bales with the same effort, but he has to pay one bale for rent, leaving his net earnings about the same as A's. Can B get a better price because he has to pay rent? Certainly not. Then Rent does not enter into Price as far as he is concerned.

Again. The druggist at the corner where the rent is low, sells me Cremo cigars at 5 cents a piece. The tobacconist on Main Street, where the rent is very high, sells me the same cigar at the same price. Why does he not charge me more and unload some of his rent? Because he knows I would quit him if he tried. How can he afford to pay higher rent than the druggist and still sell cigars at the same price? Because he does a larger volume of business. His profits on each cigar sold is less than that of the druggist but this is more than compensated for by the increased trade. So Rent does not enter into Price on Main Street any more than it does on the farm.

Where then does Rent enter into Price? Nowhere. Rent is simply a leveler that keeps wages equal at all points by sweeping off the excess wherever it appears. And it is the purpose of the Henry George plan to sweep this excess into the public treasury where it belongs and abolish all taxes.

Ever since "Progress and Poverty" was first published the stock argument against the so-called Single Tax has been that it could be shifted like other taxes are—the tax on gasoline, for instance. If it be true that Rent enters Price, then we might as well shut up shop and go home, for our critics are right and we have been chasing rainbows. But it is not true.

Houston, Texas.

### SOME DICTIONARY DEFINITIONS

EDITOR LAND AND FREEDOM:

I wish to suggest that LAND AND FREEDOM, and all Georgist publications, carry the following definitions of the "Unearned Increment" in every issue.:

Webster's New International Dictionary:

"An increase in the value of land or other property subject to a natural monopoly due to no labor or expenditure on the part of the owner, but to natural causes making an increased demand for it, such as an increase in population and the general progress of society. Some hold that this belongs to the State."

Funk & Wagnall's Practical Standard Dictionary:

"Any increase of value produced by forces independent of the person who receives it, specifically and originally increase of value in and that springs from the increase of population or other cause independent of the land itself and of its owner, and which for this reason should, according to some, appertain not to the individual, but to the state."

We who have been teaching and preaching the Georgian philosophy for years know that the so-called common people—meaning those who are so busy trying to make a living that they have little time or inclination to study or think about economic questions—always demand "high authority" for every statement. With them, any statement, no matter how well founded and backed up by reliable statistics and every-day facts, if made by the ordinary writer, carries little weight. On the other hand, if made by a Calvin Coolidge, a Rockefeller, an Andrew Mellen, or any millionaire whose name appears often in the metropolitan press, it will be accepted and treasured as "Gospel Truth."

In our battle for human rights we have two enemies to defeat: Land

"Racketeering," and an inherited public opinion that sustains it ancient customs that have been handed down from sire to son for thousands of years. When we overcome the latter, the former will fall of its own weight.

Slavery was abolished when the "scales fell from the eyes" of the men who were not making money out of the slave traffic. Slavery was as strongly fortified by public opinion a few years ago as landlordism is today. Read the following verse, written by William Cowper, 150 years ago, when the kidnapping of human beings and selling them in the slave markets of the world was deemed an honorable occupation:

"Such dupes are men to custom, and so prone
To reverence what is ancient, and can show
A course of long observance for its use,
That even servitude, the worst of ills,
Because delivered down from sire to son,
Is kept and guarded as a sacred thing."

The above definitions of "Unearned Increment" carry great weight with all classes of people. The effect is often instantaneous. No one ventures to dispute them. When a man becomes convinced that neither the land or its owner creates the value, he becomes an easy convert to the Georgian Philosophy.

Eugene W. Way.

Seattle, Wash.

## **NEWS NOTES AND PERSONALS**

THE Commonweal, of London, Eng., informs us of the formation of a Commonwealth Land Party in New Zealand under the leadership of E. W. Nicolaus. It demands the immediate collection of the full economic rent of the land of New Zealand. It is said the party already has a membership of several hundred.

The Ingram Institute News of San Diego, Calif., reprints the report of the special committee of the Institute of Architects on Taxes and Buildings. This notable report marks a great advance in thought among these representatives of the architects of the country. It is all that could be desired.

JOHN C. Rose of Pittsburgh, Pa., as usual is actively at work. He has addressed a letter to the president of the Spanish Republic congratulating him on the progress of the land emancipation movement in the country. He calls attention to one of the forerunners of Henry George, Francisco Centani, and his memorial, "Tierras," 1671.

MISS ELEANOR WESSELHOEFT, whose article appears on another page, is a stage and screen actress and a friend of Mrs. Mary Fels. She has not previously classed herself as a Single Taxer. Her article is well adapted to students who are beginners.

Herr von Wermuth, German Minister of Finance, addressing the Reichstag, said: "If the value of argicultural land increases because it is close to a town, a railway line, or a canal, the enhanced land values ought to be taxed because they are in no way due to the owner. Besides the value of land fluctuates and, owing to circumstances outside of the control of the owners, the values increase abnormally. \* \* \* A tax on increased values will therefore prevent abnormal transactions." It may be stated that in 1912 Germany collected \$9,000,000 by a site value tax on the increment.

In "Gallipoli Diary" by Gen. Sir Ian Hamilton, is to be noted the following:

"The Hood Battalion of the Royal Naval Division had been roughly handled. In the hospital clearing tent I saw and spoke to young Asquith shot through the knee, and Commander Wedgwood, who had been horribly hurt by shrapnel. Each in his own way was a calm hero; wrapped in the mantle bequeathed to English soldiers by Sir Philip Sidney."

The Press Scimitar, of Memphis, in its issue of October 12, printed