

I was extremely pleased that you included Pat Aller's page on the dastardly proposal for M.A.I. in the Autumn issue. M.A.I. needs a dagger in the heart. Too bad that NAFTA was implemented — in the sheep's clothing of the stolen name "free trade". So I have to disagree with your correspondents Mayers and Simmons.

It was interesting to read your editorial on the Brighton conference, and your longer commentary. As one of those who initiated the idea of a Secretariat, I am entitled to say that it was never meant to be an imposition. Rather it was to be a I.U. post box where all would communicate their doings and their plans; some special actions might flow and might be expedited thereby. But it would need funding and would need a real live body as Secretary. It would also need a very strong Board which would direct the Secretary's effort. Of course there is a danger of putting "the wrong person" in charge. This is true of all bodies, not only because they don't do the job well, they keep out the person who would do it well. I know of other cases where this has been true, and not just in H.G. groups, by any means! *Mary Rawson, Vancouver, Canada* ,