

The Daily Colonist Magazine, Feb 17, 1888  
Victoria?

### DR. McGLYNN'S FALL.

Since Dr McGlynn left the sanctuary for the platform his has been an ignoble career. As the priest of the poor in his beautiful church, when he had not yet become ambitious, ere he pomps and vanities of the world had weaned him away from the care of souls, no figure was more prominent, no clergyman was more beloved in ecclesiastical circles in New York than the learned and pious rector of St. Stephen's. His fall has been a rapid one, and in his decline one cannot but feel a tinge of regret, that in his old age he should leave the altar for the hustings, and the companionship of his brothers in religion for that of ward politicians and 'rounders. His break with Henry George, who, to him was at one time the essence of beauty and light, seems to be complete, while his erratic conduct is fast leading to the belief that his mind is not just as vigorous as it once was. A recent New York special says:

"All is not harmony between Henry George and the united labor party. In fact, it is boldly stated by members of the party that Mr. George has retired from its ranks, and the organization which has twice honored him with the highest nomination in its gift will know him no more. Ever since Mr. George stated that he did not think that the united labor party should nominate a presidential candidate there have been grumblings all along the line, and at the recent meeting of the King's County general committee Mr. George was openly attacked by men who have been his warm personal friends. Among them was Gaybert Barnes, secretary of the land and labor central committee and a member of the state executive committee of the united labor party. At this meeting resolutions uncomplimentary to Mr. George were adopted, and matters were lively today. Mr. Barnes declared that Mr. George some time ago had said to him in the presence of Mr. McMackin and others that he would not support up independent candidate for president nominated on the Syracuse platform. John McMackin said that Mr. George could not take a corporal's guard away from the united labor party, and that the party was not the personal property of any one. He thought that the party would be benefited, for, with the tariff question one side, the party could unite with the union labor party in the West and nominate a national ticket. When the next meeting of the county general committee takes place in March, it is rumored that several delegates will go for Mr. George's scalp. At a meeting of the Anti-Poverty Society in Pythagoras Hall tonight, Dr. McGlynn said that the labor party, which the society represented, would nominate a presidential and a vice-presidential candidate; that they would not suffer themselves to be side-tracked by any

wretched tariff-tinkering issue, nor would they allow themselves to be made a little bit of a tail to a democratic kite. So far as electing Cleveland went, they would not wear crape on their hats if the brutal and corrupt machine by which it was sought to re-elect him should be smashed. He regretted to say that several writers in George's paper had tried to side-track the labor party, but they had failed miserably, and it was therefore untrue to say that the united labor party was divided. A paper had declared that Henry George would not accept the nomination. Well, there was plenty of other good material to nominate from. Were Henry George even to give up the idea of supporting Cleveland, 'he would, on re-entering our ranks,' concluded Dr. McGlynn, 'have to be content with a much humbler position than heretofore. I for one do not want him, as I believe he has rather hurt than helped us.' "

Evil has fallen upon the Anti-Poverty Society. The members are fighting like Kilkenny cats, and disruption of the organization seems imminent. We read in a New York dispatch of Tuesday last:

"The Anti-Poverty Society has struck on a rock. At a meeting of the executive committee last night Dr. McGlynn, as president of the society, announced that he had appointed ten new members of the committee. This was charged as an attempt to pack the committee in his favor in order to stave off possible censure of himself for his recent criticism of Henry George. A row ensued and the factions separated. Each then reorganized and read the other faction out of the society."

Dr. McGlynn is in bad company. We wonder whether he does not in his calmer moments feel sorry at heart for his ill-advised and un-Christian course.