THE VITAL QUESTION.

SHALL AMERICAN INDUSTRIES BE ABANDONED, AND AMERICAN MARKETS BE SURRENDERED?

It is proposed by the advocates of free trade to reduce the revenue of the Government one hundred million dollars, by lowering the barriers between the cheap labor of Europe and the well-paid labor of the United States. Labor has made America, and owns it. Any changes in our fiscal policy must be made with a view to protecting and stimulating the labor of this country. The removal of one hundred millions of customs duties would have the opposite effect. It cannot be done without reducing the wages of labor to the low level of foreign wages, and without the destruction of flourishing industries, which now give plenty and comfort to millions of households. It would make the three millions of men now employed in manufactures competing producers instead of buyers of food, and thus bring ruin upon our farmers.

The people must decide how this reduction of revenue shall be made. The American policy of protection must either be sustained or abolished. There can be no compromise. A part of the protected labor of the country cannot be selected for destruction, and a part left. The policy which has promoted our metal industries, and given us cheap iron and steel, and that has established textile mills
and given us cheap clothing, has likewise developed our mines and increased our flocks. It has also, in the words of Jefferson, placed the manufacturer by the side of our farmers, and given them the incalculable benefits of home markets.

TARIFF, OR WAR TAXES?

The real question the country has to face is: Shall the revenue be reduced by lowering the license which foreigners have to pay for the privilege of American markets, or shall it be reduced by abolishing internal taxes, which originated in war, and have never been levied in this country except for war purposes?

Free-traders demand that $100,000,000 revenue shall come off the customs duties on “necessities.” How is this reduction to be distributed?

FREE RAW MATERIALS.

First. They demand “free raw materials.” What are these articles? How much will the revenue be reduced? How will the removal of duties now imposed affect American labor? These are fair questions, and must be answered fairly. The chief items on the list of raw materials are flax, flax-seed, wool, coal and iron ore. Take every dollar of the present duty off these articles, and you reduce the revenue less than $10,000,000.

In many States the flax and kindred industries are of vast importance. To destroy our wool industry, by admitting wool free, would materially lessen the income of over one million American farmers. In five years it would destroy the sheep industry of the United States, which now yields 300,000,000 pounds of wool, and, by diminishing the number of sheep, it would increase the price of mutton as a food.

Transfer the mining of coal to Nova Scotia, and of iron ore to Spain and Cuba, and hundreds of thousands of American miners would be compelled to crowd into other occupations or starve.

CRUDE MANUFACTURES.

Second. The customs duties would also have to be removed from crude manufactures. What are these articles? How much will the revenue be reduced? How will the removal of these duties affect American labor?

The list includes many chemical products, pig-iron, scrap-iron, salt, lumber and a number of minor articles required for advanced manufactures. The revenue thus taken off would be less than
$8,000,000. If all raw materials and all crude manufactures were put on the free list, as proposed, the total reduction of revenue would be less than $18,000,000.

Under a protective tariff our chemical industries have flourished, and the number employed has increased from 6,000 in 1860, to probably 40,000 in 1887. In this time every product has been cheapened. Under the protective tariff, the production of pig-iron has increased over six-fold. The cost to the consumer has steadily declined. To put pig-iron on the free list would deprive of employment vast numbers of the half million people engaged in our metal industries, and lower the wages of those remaining to the level of the foreign wages.

Once in the history of the country—1808 to 1813—we tried free salt, with most ruinous results. The works were abandoned, foreign prices were advanced, and when the war of 1812 broke out the foreign supply was cut off altogether.

In 1860 we produced 13,000,000 bushels of salt, and the price was eighteen cents per bushel. We now produce 40,000,000 bushels, and the price is less than half what it was at the beginning of the protective period.

FREE TRADE AT LAST.

But after putting raw materials and crude manufactures on the free list, and ruining industries which distribute hundreds of millions of dollars among our working-people, for the sake of reducing the duties $18,000,000, the tariff reformers must get rid of $82,000,000 more revenue in some other way. How is this to be done?

Experience has shown that revenues are not reduced by cutting down tariff duties. As the barriers against an influx of foreign products are lowered, importations and revenues increase. Proof of this is found in our experience under the tariff reductions of 1883. The only sure way to reduce tariff revenues is to place imported articles on the free list, which is really the aim of those who now so vigorously assail our protective policy from the ambush of a Treasury surplus.

Will they strike down the woolen industry? The wool manufacturers of the United States have invested more than a hundred millions of dollars, give employment to thousands of operatives, among whom they annually distribute in wages more than twenty-five millions of dollars, and are the only consumers of the domestic wool clip, for which they pay our farmers about $60,000,000 every year.
Will the blow, then, fall on the silk manufacturers, who employ a capital exceeding $25,000,000, and pay annually more than fifteen millions of dollars to more than 30,000 operatives? American silks made and used in this country last year, kept at home among our own people more than thirty millions of dollars, which, but for protection, would have been sent to Europe for foreign silks.

Or shall the steel and iron industries—the most important of all our manufactures—be paralyzed? When the country depended on England for axes, mechanical tools, cutlery, and the numberless necessities of the shop, the farm and the household, prices were double those now ruling, while the articles supplied were vastly inferior. Shall the hundreds of millions of dollars now invested in these industries remain unproductive, and the army of workmen now employed stand idle until necessity forces them to accept the low wages paid to European laborers—a contingency against which our tariff is the only barrier?

But upon all importations of woolens, silks, iron and steel, in 1886, we collected less than $56,000,000 revenue. In order, therefore, to make up the sum of $82,000,000 required to be taken from the duties on manufactured articles, it will be necessary to reduce the duties to the extent of more than $26,000,000 on other protected industries.

In the same year, 1886, there was collected about $25,000,000 from cotton manufactures, earthenware and china, glass and glassware, leather and manufactures of leather, rice, live animals, barley, hay and hops.

Shall protection on all these articles be removed, with the resulting embarrassment to those now employed in their production, at the demand of a free trade propaganda which makes the presence of a surplus in the Treasury the pretext for transferring the very life-blood of American industries to men beyond the sea, jealous of our growing strength, envious of our accumulating wealth, and chagrined at our prowess and independence?

Shall these enemies of American enterprise and progress succeed in their efforts to wreck our industries, throw hundreds of thousands of our workingmen out of employment, and reduce the earnings of those who can obtain work to the dead level of European wages?

THE FRUITS OF PROTECTION.

The wealth of the United States in 1860 was sixteen thousand million dollars, one-half of which was destroyed during the
Civil War. In June, 1887, our wealth touched the imperial figures of sixty thousand millions, earning seven millions each day. In 1860 the wealth of the United States was $415 per capita; in 1887, $1,000 per capita. In these years of protection the United States has earned over one-half of the sum added to the world's wealth during that time. We nearly equal Great Britain in production of iron, and excel her in the production of steel. In 1860 manufactures in the United States amounted to $1,800,000,000; in 1887 to $7,000,000,000. Our total industries now amount to $11,000,000,000. The Western States manufactured nearly as much in 1887 as the whole country in 1860. The Southern States alone now make 10 per cent. more pig-iron than was made in the United States in 1860. The annual product of the United States exceeds that of England by more than one-half, and our trade is double that of England. England has increased her commerce less than six times since 1860; the United States has increased her commerce more than six times. While England has increased her export trade four times, the exports of the United States have increased eight times. In these years, from the third producing power, we have risen to the first. Up to 1860 the entire exports of the United States were $9,000,000,000; since then they have amounted to $14,000,000,000.

Protection has practically created many great industries since 1860—crockery, silk, steel rails, etc.—employing countless laborers, and distributing thousands of millions of money among our people. From no steel rails produced in 1867, we have risen to 1,764,000 tons produced in 1886, cheapening the cost of rails, enabling us to increase our railroads from 30,000 miles to 135,000, and reducing cost of transportation to less than half what it is in England. We have now more miles of railroad than all Europe, with rolling-stock worth nine times the merchant marine of England, and our inland trade is twenty times greater than her foreign commerce.

Protection, by creating home markets, has increased the value of our farms from $6,645,046,007 in 1860, to $10,192,006,776 in 1880. It has in the same time increased our farm products from $1,675,724,972 to $3,726,321,422. Of this vast increase less than one-tenth has been exported, more than nine-tenths have been consumed at home. The want of an adequate home market for our wheat has put our wheat growers at the mercy of half-civilized India. The only remedy is to diminish production or increase the home market.

Protection has maintained the high standard of wages in the