tember, 1814—the day preceding the original publication in the "Baltimore American."

PRESS OPINIONS

The Tables Turned.

—(Cleveland) Waechter und Anzeiger (German), Oct. 3.—Now that Mr. Taft has become a Progressive he will perhaps withdraw from the Standpatters their patronage.

4 4

Conservation in Great Britain.

The Chicago Tribune (Rep.), Sept. 22.-Nothing in modern times has so aroused the English people, particularly those belonging to the Conservative party, as the collection of the new land tax. The enforcement of this phase of the Liberals' policy has resulted in a storm of protest to the Tory press, the Englishman resorting to his inalienable right to write a letter to an editor. "Socialistic" is the mildest term applied to the tax, and all the country squires, the London clubmen, retired merchants, baronets, knights, or widows with landed property, wax hysterical in their denunciation of the measure. In the Daily Telegraph, a correspondent signing herself "Fighting Widow of 73," calls on the men to show the way and "we women will follow and support them." "It is the plain duty of every free and true born Briton to fight socialism in all its forms, and to fight to a finish." The British pocketbook has evidently been hard hit.

r r

British Democracy.

The Dumfriesshire (Great Britain) Young Liberal (Lib.), August.-We of the Liberal party had forgotten that first and last, and the whole way through. Liberalism must be based upon democracy. We were careless of the political ideals of our fathers, and spoke too little of liberty. The retribution has been sharp; we who should have extended the boundaries of political freedom now find ourselves fighting to retain territory won for us long since. The issue of that fight is not for a moment doubtful; but when it is over, let us remember our lesson: only by the completion of democracy can we ensure social progress. And democracy will only be completed when the House of Commons shall represent not only forty-shilling freeholds or houses or lodgings, but the men and the women who form the nation. That is our case against the Lords: that they represent coronets, our members constituencies; that they stand for money, we for men. But we who elect the Commons' House are ourselves but a minority of the nation; we are but some seven millions out of twenty-four million adults. That is not a position which a democrat can occupy with a clear conscience. We Liberal voters are pledged to destroy the privileges of the Lords; we must pledge ourselves, too, to abandon our own. Privilege, whether it be of an order, of a class, or of a sex, is a thing hateful to our political faith. It must go, root and branch, before Liberalism can achieve its work. Our first task must be to secure the supremacy of the Commons' House; our second to make it a real Chamber of the People, chosen by the whole people, not by a favored few. "One Man, One Vote; One Woman, One Vote," is the motto of the People's Suffrage Federation, which is doing so much to forward the cause of adult suffrage. They are words which should evoke an enthusiastic response from every Liberal in the three Kingdoms.



The Land Question in Great Britain.

(British) Land Values (land value taxation), October.—The advocates of the taxation of land values are passing through a stage of gratifying progress. The valuation schedules for England, issued by the Inland hevenue Department, have turned all England into a debating society on land values. The landlord party, organized and unorganized, have set up a universal howl of execration; their agitation finds daily and weekly expression in the newspapers and magazines of every complexion. . . . It used to be said by sagacious looking people that the question of taxing land values was an idle dream, a visionary abstract idea that would never come to pass, and that it was only discussed in a serious manner by a small coterie of Henry George's followers. Well, those days are over now. The coterie has broadened out; the field of its operations has extended in all directions. In every town, in every village, in every hamlet, and in every rural district throughout the length and breadth of the land, the question of land valuation and the taxation of land values is being debated with unsurpassed zeal and enthusiasm. . . . The Budget stirred into action and enthusiasm a powerful and influential section of the electorate, who were led to the conviction that, in addition to maintaining the policy of free imports, the government had committed the Liberal party onec and for all to a radical land reform policy, We are for free imports, or for our free trade policy, as it is named by its votaries; but we contend that this regative policy alone is no reply to the protectionists. It has to be admitted that notwithstanding this free trade policy, poverty is rampant throughout the land: overcrowding, with all its attendant evils, is tormenting every municipal and rura, area and baffling every ameliorative scheme of redress. The unemployed are enduring or cursing, as the case may be, a political system they do not understand, while the passionate cry of their political exploiters, the tariff reformers [protectionists] is heard at every street corner. All this, notwithstanding sixty years of free trade! No, the free traders, so-called, have no reply to the protectionists. The only reply is the radical alteration in our systems of land tenure and taxation, as advocated and expounded by Henry George and his followers. Some Liberals and free traders, even now, do not appear to care to come into their kingdom this way. But if the Liberal party had listened to them-well, instead of being in power, the party would have been in opposition, talking no doubt about the difficulties of bringing the average English elector up to our high-water-mark, and filling in the programme of the party with all kinds of spurious Socialism. All this undeserved poverty in Great Britain to-day, and the pain and misery arising from it, has got to be faced. This is the

