the suffrage along political party lines. Their official organ, published at 1 Madison Ave., New York, is "The Woman Voter," the September issue of which is full of plans for the City Convention of the Party to be held in Carnegie Hall, October 28.

A. L. G.

PERIODICALS

The Crisis.

This is the name of a monthly magazine, organ of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, to begin publication at 20 Vesey street, New York city, under the editorship of W. E. B. DuBois, in November.



Single Tax Review.

Following a continuation of "Little Essays on a Big Subject," by J. W. Bengough, in the September-October number of The Single Tax Review (New York), there is part of an unpublished story by W. A. Douglass, and this is followed by a running comment on recent expressions of Socialism. The memorial address on Henry George, delivered to the Scottish League for the Taxation of Land Values by Alexander Mackendrick, which has a place in this number of the Review, is a fine specimen of philosophical oratory. As a memorial address it is a model of tenderness and dignity. A good portrait of Mr. Mackendrick goes with his address.



The Twentieth Century Magazine.

In this reformers' review, the successor to the old Arena, and under the same editor, B. O. Flower, two papers on government are of special interest-Ex-Governor Garvin's, under the title of "Socialism or Individualism," and the Rev. Edgar F. Blanchard's on "Government-Tribal, Feudal, Individualistic and Socialistic." While Mr. Blanchard's paper treats those four social phases as if they were distinct epochs, the later ones giving way to their predecessors respectively, like a succession of years, Governor Garvin treats individualism and socialism as ever present social forces, like opposite weights of a scale, tending toward equilibrium. Charles E. Page, M. D., contributes to this number a brief article in criticism of the innoculation methods that have recently taken so strong a hold upon his profession.



Quarterly Journal of Economics.

The issue for August contains a somewhat remarkable supplement by Prof. Edgar H. Johnson to Prof. Davenport's article on "The Single Tax in the English Budget," which appeared in the Journal for February. A more confusing performance along the line of "now you see it and now you don't" would be hard to find, even in the economic literature of the Universities. To appreciate this the whole article must be read. But here is a specimen: "Single Taxers should logically advocate a tax on land rentals rather than on land values"—therein confirming Prof. Davenport's misapprehension—but "in their

use of phrases they have been influenced by their leader who proposed to abolish all taxation save those upon land values." The misquotation is probably not Prof. Johnson's. At any rate it was doubtless only a slip of pen, of memory or of the types. But, Prof. Johnson proceeds: "How careless George sometimes was in his use of economic terms may be seen in his claim that the application of the single tax would increase land values," a statement which this careless economic professor would do well to verify by citation if he can, so that readers may ascertain whether the careless George was speaking of an application of the single tax over a limited arear and in moderate amount (which reasonably might increase land values, and in fact, has done so in Australasia, as we are informed), or that full application which would take for public use approximately the entire actual or potential rental income. But Prof. Johnson's most extraordinary blunder is where he says that "when George spoke of taxing land values he really meant rents." There is a citation intended to support that interpretation, but it reads "rent or land values," and not "rents," as the careless Professor Johnson has it; and since the context shows that George was using the word "rent" as a contraction of the technical term "economic rent," which was then, if it is not yet, used indifferently for rental income or rental capitalization. If Prof. Johnson had really read Progress and Poverty, instead of dipping into it here and there for something to cavil at, he would have known that when George spoke of "taxation of rent or land values," he did so with reference to the definition to be found at the beginning of chapter ii. of book iii, where he had described "rent," economic rent, as being not only for land in contradistinction to improvements and regardless of whether the owner was his own tenant or not, but as being "also expressed in a selling price," in "rent commuted or capitalized." Rent commuted or capitalized is "land values" in contradistinction to "land rentals" when the two are distinguished. Both are included in the economic term "rent," as George used it when on page 404 of Progress and Poverty he spoke of "the taxation of rent or land values." But apart from Prof. Johnson's manifest guilt of the very kind of carelessness he quite mistakenly attributes to George, it would be interesting to learn, whether from him or Prof. Davenport or any one else, why "single taxers should logically advocate a tax on land rentals rather than on land values. Professor Johnson must have intended to distinguish; and the only distinction is between actual or potential rental income on the one hand, and capitalization on the other. What George plainly implied was that rental values should be taken by taxation estimated on capital values; and perhaps some one can explain why estimating taxes on the basis of capital values would not be "to appropriate rent by taxation," which was what, on that same page 404, George distinctly stated to be the purpose of his proposal. To tax land rentals would be to exempt land speculation if actual rentals are alluded to; and also, where dealings are usually in capital values, to necessitate valuations with the aid of a market. But to tax capital values, would be to get potential as well as actual rentals (thereby abolishing forestalling), and with the aid of the market where dealings on the basis of



capital values are customary. Why, then, should single taxers "logically advocate a tax on land rentals rather than on land values?"

Mr. Lloyd George has all the fever of the Celtic spirit in his blood, but his passion is ever under

control, and over and above all other things his sense of humor flourishes. "We must give Home Rule not merely to England," he said once, addressing some Welsh miners, "but also to Scotland and Wales." "And Home Rule for hell," interposed an exasperated voice. "Quite right," was the serene re-

A Worth-While Work

These crying times need master strokes for real reforms—no shilly-shallying.

You can deliver a few of these by placing The Public in the hands of your friends and neighbors.

Make them acquainted with it by getting them to subscribe and they will thank you in due course.

Cincinnati, Ohio

Daniel Kiefer

DR. CHARLES L. LOGAN **OSTEOPATH**

Suite 701, Cable Building 28 Jackson Boulevard

Tel. Harrison 6298 Hours: 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

Cottage Green Av. & 33d St. Tel. Dougles 673

CHICAGO

Real Estate For Sale and Exchange

EDWARD POLAK 4030 Third Ave. New York City

Real Estate and Investments R. E. CHADWICK

1141 D Street

San Diego, Cal.

The Land for the People, The Land Value Tax Party all believers and making new ones. You are urged to help. Send 10 cts. in stamps for Platform, Constitution and other literature. Buttons 25c. B. T. Sample, Previsional Sec. 11, 13 Grasberry St., Breeklys. N.V.

San Marcos, Texas, Aug. 21, 1910. Mr. Louis F. Post, Editor.

Dear Sir:

I must congratulate you on the last number of The Public. It is, however, one of many. This number contains more vital think germs than a ton of the baled hay sent out by the great daily papers. It is worthy to be bound in limp leather so as to attract the "class" that ought

The Public shines as a beacon light leading to higher things.

Soon my renewal will be sent, when I surely will send two others. Any one can do that much. The Public is THE paper that I rely on to get inside facts, and a correct interpretation of the methods of "big business."

I sometimes ask myself: "What would you know of the world without The Public?" The answer is: "Nothing worth while." This paper puts me far and away ahead of the average man. They simply don't know. They have no means Yours sincerely, of knowing.

GEO. W. KNIGHT.

Our Ten Cent Books

A Great Iniquity

By Leo Tolstoy

Red paper cover. Portraits.

Gerrit Smith on Land Monopoly With Introduction by Wm. Lloyd Garrison,

Green paper cover. Portrait.

the Younger

A copy of either of the above books will be sent to any address for TEN CENTS. This price includes postage.

The Public, Book Dept.

Ellsworth Bldg.

Chicago

Our Fifteen Cent Books

Hard Times: the Cause and the Cure By James Pollock Kohler

Direct Legislation by the People By Martin Rittinghausen

A copy of either of the above books will be sent to any address for FIFTEEN CENTS. This price includes postage.

The Public, Book Dept. Ellsworth Bldg. Chicago