is to do so at St. Louis. Perhaps they will succeed. In Illinois John R. Walsh, the Chicago banker, through Hopkins for his Democratic lieutenant, literally owns the State machinery of the party. The delegation is under instructions to vote for Hearst while he is a candidate; but that was the cheap price Walsh and Hopkins paid Hearst to keep his papers quiet about the rough-riding tactics whereby they exacted all they needed from a convention in which they were in a hopeless minority. One purpose of this forcible reversal of the primaries by methods which included the unseating by the gavel of whole delegations whose seats were not contested. was to deliver Illinois to Cleveland, who is Walsh's choice. But back of that there lay a local purpose. Walsh is scheming for corporation legislation, to be secured through a Democratic-Republican ring-for Walsh is bipartisan when he chases the merry dollar. Fortunately his Republican lieutenant failed him. though not intentionally, and an anti-ring candidate for governor was nominated in the person of Deneen. Scores of thousands of Illinois Democrats, who have learned through the bold action of the ring at the Illinois convention that Hopkins's leadership means corporation control, will vote for Deneen. Their double object will be to rebuke their own convention boss, and to make sure of a veto for any corrupt corporation bill which the Walsh-Hopkins-Lorimer ring may work through the legis lature.

In all this, Hearst exhibits a pitiful figure. So intent was he on getting an "instruction" for the Presidential nomination, so fearful it might slip through his fingers, and so ready to swap everything for this compliment, that he lost such an opportunity as seldom comes to any man for proving his right to leadership by leading. A large majority of that convention would have followed him against the Hopkins dictatorship and he could have had, not only "instructions," but a friendly delegation, a friendly State ticket, a friendly State organization with only a ludicrous rump convention to protest, and the prestige of having redeemed Illinois Democracy from corporate dictation. That opportunity Hearst swapped for a chromo for himself and a place on the delegation at large for one of his office boys. What would such a weakling do in a national crisis?

When Professor Sumner, of Yale, announced over twenty years ago, that incorporated capital was becoming more and more indispensable, John S. Crosby, now of New York, marveling at the optimistic complacency with which Sumner and others seemed to regard those excrescences upon the body politic known as private corporations, took occasion to write in substance that they were indeed indispensable to the rapid accumulation of the enormous fortunes then beginning to pile up. He added the prophecy that the time was not distant when every industry would be controlled by its corporate magnate as railroads, telegraphs and petroleum already were, and when the price of labor would be adjusted by dominant and pooling corpora tions. That prophecy has come true. The condition it foretold obtains now except in so far as the counter but unincorporated organization of wage earners has withstood the corporate assaults upon the price of skilled labor. Every industry and all the products of industry are virtually controlled by the trusts, or great corporations. The question heard on every hand now is, How shall we control these "indispensable" accessories to modern industrial progress? For few even now venture to criticise their conduct without first gingerly disavowing any and all such heresy as is involved in questioning their assumed necessity and supposedly benign mission. Instead of taking so much for granted, is it not time for some one to point out why sable, wherein they are beneficial to the public, and by virtue of what legitimate function government presumes to grant corporate privilege? Can natural competition ever obtain among unnatural or artificial persons?

How thoroughly plutocratic the Philippine conquest was is "given away" by that ultra-veracious newspaper correspondent, William E. Curtis, in a recent letter from Manila. Mr. Curtis explains, with graphophonic fidelity no doubt, why American capital has been so much slower in making investments in Philippine monopolies than was expected. American capitalists fear that the Filipinos will not be as docile as we Americans, under plutocratic dominion. That is rather uncomplimentary to American public spirit, to be sure, but Mr. Curtis writes:

There is not the slightest doubt that plenty of capital will be offered, and all the transportation facilities needed will be promptly undertaken in the Philippine islands whenever an surance can be given that authority will not be turned over to the natives and investors placed at their mercy. Of course no one can anticipate the action of Congress, but there is not the slightest probability that the Philippine islands will ever be declared independent or that the natives will be given sufficient control of affairs to endanger any investments that may be made here.

So the truth about the Philippines is leaking out by degrees, often through such unexpected apertures as Curtis's letters, and fools who read as they run may become aware thereof. The object of subjugating the Filipinos by the military power has been to: turn the monopolies of their country over to the plutocratic wizards of Wall street. A great hullabaloo was raised over the friars' lands question. Yet the friars got those lands through the voluntary concessions of their converts to Christianity. But now railroad franchises and lands are to be turned over to the Standard Oil "crowd" and their confreres; and to perpetuate this iniquity as well as the Harrison machine, private corporations are indispendent vested rights guarantees are de-Digitized by GOOS