

seem like echoes of Bryanism; and while regretting that there is "not a Democratic President and a Democratic Senate to make possible a beginning of tariff revision by striking a first blow at the protection accorded all trust products in the present tariff bill," this platform "points to the reciprocity measure as proof that the Republican party is now abandoning even the pretense that its protective policy is for the benefit of the American farmer." An excellent outline of the situation will be found in our Editorial Correspondence, from the pen of "D. K. L."

+

At the Republican convention at Lincoln, also on the 25th, a committee endorsement of Mr. Taft was adopted. The Associated Press reported it in this wise:

The Insurgents lacked a leader and were out-generated by Victor Rosewater and his delegation from Omaha. Before the Insurgents could get a chance to introduce resolutions from the floor, the Regulars had rushed through a motion providing that all resolutions should be referred to a committee which was given power to draw up a final report. A. W. Jeffers of Omaha, chairman of the convention, then named a committee of seven members of whom five were strong friends of Mr. Taft. Mr. Rosewater was made chairman of the committee. From this point the possibilities of the fight on the floor over the indorsement of Mr. Taft went glimmering.

The platform rejoices "in the glorious record of the Republican party which has given the nation the illustrious names of Lincoln, Grant, Garfield, McKinley, Roosevelt and Taft," and reaffirming "devotion to Republican principles," congratulates "the country on its continued peace, progress and prosperity under the wise guidance of our Republican President."

+ +

Republican Progressives in Illinois.

At East St. Louis on the 29th Senator Walter Clyde Jones and Professor Merriam, opened the Progressive Republican campaign for the primaries of next April. [See current volume, page 778.]

+

Senator Jones struck the keynote of his campaign on nomination for Governor in these words:

This is a war to restore popular government. It is a war to destroy the boss and his machine based on the power of patronage and pelf. The spoils system must go. This is a war upon predatory business and its lure of lucre. The special interests must go out of politics. The Progressive movement has for its object the accomplishment of three definite results: First, the restoration of popular government; second, the destruction of the spoils system, and, third, the elimination of corruption from political life. These three things are intimately related. . . . The progressive movement proposes effective legis-

lation to destroy each and all of these sources of the boss's power.

Senator Jones mentioned as features of that legislation, the Initiative, Referendum and Recall. He closed by saying:

We have enlisted in this war to win, and we are going to fight until we finish. We are going to fight until popular government is restored in Illinois, as it has been in Wisconsin and Oregon and California and New Jersey and other States where this battle has been fought, where the issues have been squarely presented to the people, as we propose to present them, and where the people have voted at the ballot box overwhelmingly for Progressive measures to take back into their hands the control of their own government.

Professor Merriam, speaking in the same strain, said:

The Senatorial scandal could not have occurred if we had been able to use the system of direct election for Senators. There would have been no secret contributions to the "jackpot" and no secret distribution of that fund of shame if the people of Illinois had been able to use the Initiative and the Referendum. And if the people today could use the Recall the seats of members of the Illinois legislature who opposed the mandate of the voters of Illinois in respect to the Initiative and Referendum or who took cowardly refuge in silence would now be vacant. The Initiative, Referendum and Recall prevent the sale and delivery of political influence and are necessary checks upon the political hucksters who sell public rights for private gain.

+ +

Death of Edward M. Shepard.

After an illness of several weeks, Edward M. Shepard, of New York, died at his summer home at Lake George, at the age of 61. [See current volume, pages 195, 206.]

+

Mr. Shepard was primarily a lawyer, and he stood easily in the front rank at the New York bar. But he was also a man of public spirit with the democratic trend. Under more favorable political circumstances, he was the kind of man to have made a great democratic leader in legislation and administration; and notwithstanding his temperament, a popular leader too. In partisan politics he was affiliated with the Democratic party. He came first into national prominence after the Bryan campaign in 1896, in which he was associated with the "gold" Democracy against Bryan. The following year, when Henry George was a candidate for Mayor of New York, Mr. Shepard supported Seth Low, the Republican and "good government" candidate against George of the Jeffersonian Democracy and Van Wyck of Tammany Hall. But in 1898 Mr. Shepard supported Augustus Van Wyck for Governor against Roosevelt, and in 1900 he supported Bryan both for nomination and election. In