The Public

A National Journal of Fundamental Democracy & A Weekly Narrative of History in the Making

LOUIS F. POST, EDITOR
ALICE THACHER POST, MANAGING EDITOR

SENERAL LIBRARY, UNIV. OF MICH. MAY 14 1909

ADVISORY AND CONTRIBUTING EDITORS

James H. Dillard, Louisiana
William Lloyd Garrison, Massachusetts
L. F. C. Garvin, Rhode Island
Henry F. Ring, Texas
William H. Fleming, Georgia
Herbert S. Bigelow, Ohio
Frederic C. Howe, Ohio
Mrs. Harriet Taylor Upton, Ohio
Brand Whitlock, Ohio

HENRY GEORGE, JR., New York
ROBERT BAKER, New York
BOLTON HALL, New York
FRANCIS I. DU PONT, Delaware
HERBERT QUICK, IOWA
MRS, LONA INGHAM ROBINSON, IOWA
S. A. STOCKWELL, Minnesota
WILLIAM P. HILL, Missouri
C. E. S. WOOD, Oregon

JOHN Z. WHITE, Illinois
R. F. PETTIGREW, South Dakota
LEWIS H. BERENS, England
J. W. S. CALLIE, England
JOSEPH FELS, England
JOHN PAUL, Scotland
MAX HIRSCH, Australia
GEORGE FOWLDS, New Zealand

Vol. XII.

CHICAGO, FRIDAY, MAY 14, 1909.

No. 580

DOIMODIAT.

Published by Louis F. Post Ellsworth Building, 387 Dearborn Street, Chicago

Single Copy, Five Cents Yearly Subscription, One Dollar Entered as Second-Class Matter April 16, 1898 at the Post Office at Chicago, Illinois, under the Act of March 3, 1879

CONTENTS.

Insurance of Bank Deposits 45 The British Budget 45 Documentary Humor 45 Responsibility for Poverty 45 Learning and Intelligence 45 Down With Direct Legislation 45 Big Business Inconsistency 46 Judge Mack and the Labor Unions 46
Documentary Humor
Responsibility for Poverty
Learning and Intelligence
Down With Direct Legislation
Big Business Inconsistency46
Big Business Inconsistency
Judge Mack and the Labor Unions
Cocksure Expertism46
Seeds of Bad Government (Lewis Stockton)46
INCIDENTAL SUGGESTIONS:
The Church and Society (Louis G. Hoeck)46
The church and beckey (Bodis G. Hotek)
NEWS NARRATIVE:
The British Budget46
The Joseph Fels Fund of America
The Tariff in Congress46
A Filipino Protest Against a Reduction of Philippine
Duties
Disciplining Porto Rico46
The National Peace Congress46
The Gompers-Mitchell-Morrison Case Subject of a
Prize Contest46
The French Strike Redivivus46
Order Restored in Turkey46
The Shah of Persia Restores Constitutionalism46
Maladministration of the Congo Free State in the
Courts
News Notes47
Press Opinions47
RELATED THINGS:
God's Acres (S. E. Kiser)
Old Tom riarder Remarks That— (Geo. v. Wells)47
The Matter with Boston (Wm. Lloyd Garrison)47
The United States a World Agency for Evil (A. H.
Tolman)47
BOOKS:
Municipal Government in Europe47
Pamphlets
Periodicals

EDITORIAL

Insurance of Bank Deposits.

One must rub his eyes these days to be sure that Bryan didn't win the last election. Here, for instance, is President Taft's Attorney General advising the national banks that they may insure their deposits. The very suggestion was wicked and impracticable less than a year ago. True it is that Bryan proposed to have deposits guaranteed by the Federal government, whereas the Attorney General has reference to insurance companies. But this convenient distinction may be resolved into a plutocratic difference.

The British Budget.

For the space given in this week's News Narrative to the speech of Lloyd-George, Chancellor of the Exchequer, in explaining the budget of the Liberal ministry to the British House of Commons, no apology is due. It may well turn out to have been the opening Parliamentary speech of an economic epoch in Great Britain. At any rate it is a matter of no mere local importance. It is of universal concern and ought to be regarded as of universal interest.

Although Lloyd-George's views of taxation as expressed in his speech are open to academic criticism in some respects, his proposals are along right lines, and for present practical purposes as far reaching as could in reason be desired.

In spirit, his speech recognizes a fiscal difference between privilege and righteous property, and the tendency of the budget itself is toward the principle of taxing the former and exempting the latter. Between earned and unearned incomes the line is pretty fairly drawn, even in the income tax; while nearly all the other taxes also raise the issue sharply between parasite and worker.

With Lloyd-George's speech fully before us, we may see how profoundly in principle, and effectively in method, even if gently in the amount of the tax, the uncarned land values of Great Britain are assailed by this truly progressive budget. The tax on the values of unimproved land is very small, but it is levied expressly for the purpose of forcing such land into use. This is in itself a long stride forward. The tax on the future "unearned increment," as John Stuart Mill called it, is not very definitely explained in the Chancellor's speech. All that clearly appears is that 20 per cent of the future unearned increment will be taken; but whether regularly as a tax, or on occasions of sale or other transfer, is not so clear. Probably the exaction is to be in the nature of a duty upon transfers, or upon each new valuation. But this makes no difference. The important thing in theory is that the peculiar character of land values as community values is fiscally recognized; and the important practical thing is that the capital value of the land is to be ascertained throughout the kingdom, for the purpose of securing a basis for the taxation of that increment of value which is due, not to the owners of land as such, but to society.

This reform is denounced by the landlord interests and the land capitalist interests, as a virtual adoption of Henry George's theories. The characterization is not much amiss. Landlordism and land capitalism could not long survive the encroachments upon their citadel of strength of which the adoption of this budget would mark the beginning. Even the political struggle, if the privileged classes force the Asquith ministry to resign, would serve a great purpose to that end.

The Liberal ministry have not only taken a strong position in this budget, as administrators of public affairs; they have taken a strong tactical position in politics. Should the House of Lords reject the land-tax provisions of the budget, they would challenge an appeal to the people upon a

charge against themselves of revolutionary action. Should they reject the entire budget, they would assume the heavy burden of voting down supplies for old age pensions and a stronger navy. Yet if they accept the budget, they consent to the institution of a system of taxation against the encroachments of which the privileged interests of Great Britain would not be able long to hold out. From this distance the inference is obtrusive that as a mere matter of political manœuvering, Asquith has outdone the Tories at a time when they were most confident of overwhelming him.

Documentary Humor.

In the course of enforcement of the land-value taxation laws of South Australia, efforts were made to identify land holdings with their owners. The results were really quite complete, returns having been received the first year from 36,921 out of the estimated 40,000 owners. Yet there was a good deal of complaint by officials, and in his report of 1906 to the British Parliament, the Deputy Governor of South Australia wrote that while many baffling errors had been made by all classes, some of the returns were extraordinarily complete and minute, adding: "We cannot fail to recognize the thoroughness of the taxpayer who returned that piece of land of his measuring nine feet by six feet ---- Cemetery,' and under the column 'name of occupier,' gave that of his departed wife."

Responsibility for Poverty.

In one of the more recent leaflets of the New York Association for Improving the Condition of the Poor, of which R. Fulton Cutting is president, an important piece of testimony appears relative to the responsibility for poverty. Under a photograph of a remarkably bright family of little children—a family in which race suicide has been unknown—appears this question:

Shall promising children like these grow up into useful men and women or into physical and moral failures? This depends often on our being able to strengthen the good mother's hands and to hold the family together by timely aid when overtaken by sickness, death or lack of work.

Then comes the testimony from this really competent source: "Careful investigation shows that in 80 per cent of these families the distress is not 'their own fault,' and in the rest, it surely is not the fault of the suffering children." And then:

We are coming to see that the lives which fail are very largely the result of imperfect, neglected, social conditions. To this extent they are our failures.

