nances or legislative bills to popular vote. If the people want to give effect to the ordinances or bills, their votes will confirm them; if the people oppose the ordinances or bills, their votes will condemn them. No matter how the referendum petition is secured, it is the referendum vote and not the petition that expresses the popular purpose. All this solicitude lest false referendum petitions be filed, is significant of a desire to secure, not pure referendum petitions, but impure legislation.

4 4

Two Intellectual Diseases.

In Garrod's book on "The Religion of all Good Men," we find this most excellent apology of the author for publishing his opinions while he has the courage of them and before he may have substituted for the courage of his own opinions "the courage only of other people's opinions." everywhere around him, he says,-his environment is Oxford,-"I hear the praise of the 'middle course,' of compromise, of suspended judgment; and I see the love of truth corrupted into the sophistic passion for believing both sides of a contradiction." And he adds a searching comment: "I see the folks of my little world the victims, all of them, of one of two diseases-the disease of having no opinions ('the balanced mind'), or the disease of not expressing them ('moderation'); yet we all know that the just balance is motionless: nor have we ever seen in history intellectual progress born of an elegant laissez-faire." How could this thing, so much needing to be said, have possibly been better said? The "balanced mind" a motionless mind; the "moderate mind" stationary. These are indeed intellectual diseases as obstructive to human development as is the greedy and the grasping mind to human moralization.

+ +

The Ownership of an Inland Sea.

Long Island Sound is a great inland sea, open at all seasons and affording constant shipping opportunity, both to the ocean and to the great railway terminals, for a string of manufacturing cities. It is the private property of one railroad company—the New York, New Haven and Hartford. The story of this monopoly has been gathered from the reports of the Interstate Commerce Commission and put into readable phrase by Willis J. Abbot for Collier's, in which it appeared on the 16th. The railroad in question has control of practically all the railroad transportation that connects with the shipping of Long Island Sound,

including all the electric roads of Connecticut and Rhode Island. Only one boat line pretends to independence, and that also is believed to be controlled by the railroad monopoly. The manner in which the Sound is monopolized is graphically described by Mr. Abbot: "The water is there, the channel is there, surveyed and lighted by the United States government. The harbors are there, made by that Great First Cause to which all mankind owes all that it may be. The people are there, the business is there, all is there to invite the participation of Americans in the maritime carrying trade through this placid piece of water which leads from the metropolis of the United States to all the chief towns of the greatest manufacturing section of this nation. But if one desires to enter upon this honorable, this helpful service of coastwise shipping, the owners of the great railroad monopoly of New England hold up a warning hand. You can have no docks!' they say. And if by chance or skill or diplomacy one is able to defeat this prohibition, his first ship bringing a cargo of New England's goods to New York to be forwarded thence io customers in the West is met at the wharfs of this city by the officials of our great trunk lines, with the information that because his happens to be an 'independent boat,' his freight charges will be almost twenty per cent. higher than those exacted from the boats owned by the great New Haven corporation."

* *

Public Improvements and Property Values.

A typical instance of distorted reasoning with reference to the distribution of the financial benefits of public improvements is furnished by the leading editorial in a recent issue of the Pasadena Evening Star. A correspondent had objected to a general tax for the construction of a boulevard, on the ground that the financial benefits would all go to neighboring land owners. The Star undertakes to show that on the contrary the financial benefits would be distributed generally, and it invents numerous illustrations. One takes the form of a question: "If thousands more people come here annually to live, permanently or temporarily, will there not be a readier market at more remunerative prices, for the fruit, vegetables. butter, eggs and all the produce of the ranch?" The question may be answered by a counter question: If there should be a readier market at more remunerative prices, would not this in turn induce a larger supply and consequently a restoration of the present less remunerative prices? A similar