leader in the Single Tax movement. This is all the more curious in that Single Taxers have shown an accommodating readiness to accept unquestioningly all kinds of leaders and leaderships.

How comes it, then, that an active minority of Single Taxers in California refused to follow the banner that for the first time in three decades was lifted high for uncompromising, straight Single Tax? The fact is, it was not so much Luke North's personality as it was that the entire movement was startled out of its complacency. North's appeal was to the soul of the Single Tax movement that makes the Single Tax really worth while. He asked for the Single Tax to force the land of California into use He impatiently brushed aside questions of the niceties of taxation, local option in taxation, questions of exemptions. The whole Single Tax movement had grown timid, respectable, and was almost moribund. Luke North uttered a cry that seemed to come out of the wilderness and from his very soul, an appeal that was piercing, vehement and it rang from one end of the State to the other. No one since Henry George had spoken in language half so thrilling. The response his appeal elicited is proof of its

It is no disloyalty to Luke North's memory to question whether this man was a great political leader, a great political organizer. He was, at least, a wonderful agitator. His speeches were not always remarkable for their historical or philosophical insight, but they were stirring, appealing. His writings had their obvious deficiencies—being a poet he was quite as often mastered by his moods as master of them—but there were many flashes of illumination, and he was often positively brilliant.

Turning to the "Songs of the Great Adventure," we can find much to commend. Little of it is conventional in form, save one extraordinary sonnet, "The Nativity," which Luke North called an "adaptation" from one of Alys Thompson's sonnet sequences in the "Year's Rosary." But how many arresting things there are! Where we and the Socialists are prone to predicate our class divisions, Luke North would have none of them. There was only one division, he reminds us, in words of profoundest import and truest sanity. Listen to him, under the heading, "The Line of Cleavage."

"Those who care and those who don't—this is the line of cleavage in human society. It does not run between exploiter and exploited, the robber and the robbed; those are later accidents of environment and opportunity and circumstances. The still earlier accident—so it must appear to our comprehension—that we have to deal with, is the 'accident' of birth which gave this man a quickened heart and this man a dull one—this man a heart responsive and this man a heart obtuse.

"Some men care and some men don't—this is the line of cleavage. It does not parallel any of the artificial lines that superficially separate society into classes. It is not between the masses and the classes, not between labor and capital, nor between worker and parasite; it is not between proletariat, bourgeois, and tinsel aristocrat, nor between the educated and ignorant.

"The true line of cleavage runs perpendicular through all classes of society—even through radicalism itself—and divides the world into those who care and those who don't."

The man who wrote these words lies now where the storm of controversy that raged about him is forever stilled. When he became ill he was induced to go to a hospital. Examination proved that he suffered from the last stages of tuberculosis. His death was followed by a quiet funeral in Forest Lawn Cemetery, in Glendale, near Los Angeles, and the only services consisted of the reading of selections from the "Songs of the Great Adventure."

"Died of tuberculosis"—so will read the medical records of the institution where he passed away. But it is not a pleasant thought that his end was hastened by undernourishment and the lack of full sympathy he must have craved. Yet this thought, which we hesitate to voice, he might have put aside with the same noble retort which we find on page 145 of the "Songs of the Great Adventure."

AT THE ROSLYN HOTEL

One arose and said He had sacrificed more For the Single Tax than I had.

He was right. I haven't sacrificed anything For Single Tax.

The vision of Henry George Owes me nothing. I am its debtor For the greatest hours of my life.

## For A National Organization.

THE need of a national organization to advance the Single Tax as a political issue is imperatively demanded at this time. We print elsewhere in this number a communication from Mr. Craig Ralston, author of the now famous "Shovelcrats," and a facile newspaper writer. He is under the impression, perhaps justified by an earlier editorial pronouncement, that the Review is opposed to carrying the political issue of the Single Tax into the national field. If we ever entertained such a conviction we entertain it no longer.

It is true that the States are practically self-governing units in the matter of land and taxation. Many State constitutions offer no bar to the enactment of the Single Tax; others may by constitutional amendment carry it on referendum. So much is true.

But to refrain from entry into the field of national politics is to add an element of weakness to the political propaganda that has now begun. It is to encourage the kind of political activities on the part of Single Taxers that detract from the strength of the movement, and to permit the kind of flirtation with national side parties that has gone on for so many years within the States and cities.

It has long been recognized that there exists no practical difference between the Republican and Democratic parties.

Principles long held to be the traditional inheritances of one or other of the parties are now shared on a "fifty-fifty" basis by both organizations. There is not a single issue on which each party is not pretty evenly divided. There is not one question of importance that has not its great protagonists in both parties, from the League of Nations down through to every minor issue.

There is perhaps one exception, and that is the tariff. As to this issue both parties are protectionist. There is not a single free trader in the national administration, if we judge correctly from their public utterances. We have not succeeded in teaching the Democratic Party the beauties of free trade, as we started out to do. We have failed in the role of preceptor, and we have seen even Mr. Bryan abandon his free trade activities for the preaching of all sorts of political nostrums, ending with national prohibition.

If it were not for Woodrow Wilson the Democratic Party could not carry a single electoral vote outside of the "Solid South." Never was there a party so thoroughly dead and buried. This is the more remarkable, in that the party might be imagined to have gained a certain prestige by carrying a great war to a successful conclusion. But even this is not enough, and will not suffice to save it from the overwhelming defeat that awaits it.

The Republican Party while possessing a greater cohesiveness, and incomparably greater intelligence, is, so far as great issues are concerned, a heterogeneous mob. Now that Theodore Roosevelt's political generalship and his hospitality to new ideas are gone, that party, too, is like a ship without a rudder.

Today is the auspicious time for the formation of a national Single Tax party organization with national committeemen in every State. This National Committee must supplant the proprietory organization turned over by Mr. Kiefer to Mr. Towne and now being used to exploit a preposterous scheme for the raising of half a million dollars to enable Mr. Towne to carry out some wonderful PLAN (which he refrains from indicating) for the establishment of a chain of papers. To such a pass have we come under the irresponsible government inherited from the Fels Commission!

Do some of our readers hesitate to accept the suggestion that the great doctrine for which we stand be now put to the supreme and final test? Yet it is the only way of welding together the now disrupted and dissatisfied elements of our movement. It is the only way that we may present a solid front to the world. May we not paraphrase the Earl of Montrose?

"We either fear our fate too much, Or our deserts are small, Who dare not put it to the touch To win or lose it all."

Great God! We are the torch-berarers of an economic world-gospel! We bring balm for the healing of the nations, a message for the oppressed, a new Magna Charta of emancipation for mankind. If rejected, Leagues of Nations, covenants of peoples, are veritable "scraps of

paper." Again autocracy will challenge the political democracies that even now are shaken by internal revolutions. Again the Man on Horseback, a pinchbeck Hohenzollern or a real Napoleon, will over-ride the world. Again on dying democracies, by power of cannon and shot and shell a modern Tamerlane will seek to fatten, or "the sword will again be mightier than the pen, and in carnivals of destruction brute force and wild frenzy will alternate with the lethargy of a declining civilization."\*

What to the disinherited, conscious of his disinheritance, is the knowledge that there exists a pact of international comity for the world? What to the laborer divorced from the land who surveys the swelling acres of Milord, does it matter that there is now a new entente between the rulers of Europe and America? His rulers are those who control the natural bounties of all lands. Will it assuage his anger and disappointment when fresh from the fields of Flanders on his return to his native Illinois he looks upon the country he has fought for? Is it his country or Lord Scully's?

We need not defer to the counsels of the timid. It is true we are numerically insignificant. We are without great leaders. We are oppressed by the traditions of democratic alignment. The mantle of Henry George has descended to none of us. We falter on the threshold of great achievement.

Yet the movement, in spite of thirty years on which we now look back with somewhat mingled emotions, is really in its formative state. The Land Movement of Henry George, as we have said elsewhere, "needs to be begun all over again." And it must not be begun in a timid, half-hearted way. Therefore it is needed that in 1920, or late in 1919, we hold a National Convention to perfect a national political organization, and inaugurate a new national party to carry the message straight to the Federal government.

\* "Progress and Poverty," by Henry George.

## The First Rung of the Ladder

"You're standing today on the first rung of a ladder of 'Opportunity.' You're standing there with both feet. You know it—and you know that it will hold all the weight you can put on it.

"But one rung doesn't make a ladder. You've got to have others if you want to climb.

"Shape them to fit your ladder and-drive them in!

"No material? Where's your vision, Man?—It's lying all about you.

"Make your next rung 'Thrift' and-climb!

"Don't look down; look up! Shape and place the next rung, 'Sensible Spending,'—and the next, 'Sensible Saving,'—and

"Keep on shaping them—keep on building—buy War Savings Stamps, and—climb, climb, climb!"

THUS reads a large advertisement of the Government Loan Organization, Second Federal Reserve District, War Savings Committee, 120 Broadway, New York. Accom-

