

tics, so long as nothing is to be gained thereby. And unless we know not only what we want, but how to go about to get it, and that so clearly as to embody the same in concrete legislation, it is difficult to see how we can benefit by organizing as a party.

To go over the same thought: I think as a body, we hardly recognize our present limitations. We forget that as yet we are pure idealists. Our ideal is the common ownership of land which ideal we propose to realize through the medium of taxation—and rightly so. But we often speak, and as often persuade ourselves, that the application of such taxation is a mere matter of a majority in the legislature. This, of course, is not so. For given that, and we would be at sea how to proceed.

The outcome must be, assuming a victory at the polls, that the Party could not "make good"—at least so far as Single Tax is in issue. So, as has been said of our friends the Socialists, the hour of our victory would be the hour of our defeat.

My conclusion is therefore that we are not ready for political action. The "psychological moment" for launching a Party, is when we can put forth a program. Then, certainly and by all means; but not till then.—HENRY BOOTHMAN, Libby, Montana.

---

BELIEVES THIS IS THE ONLY WAY TO GET  
OUR VIEWS BEFORE THE PUBLIC.

EDITOR SINGLE TAX REVIEW:

Dear Sir:—After reading report of the Fels Fund Commission, and the article entitled, For Political Action, I will say that I believe, and have for a long time, felt the need of some action along lines which would bring Single Taxers more closely together and have a more complete organization than at present exists.

I know of no better way than that suggested in the call of Single Taxers in convention, to form a Single Tax political party.

I am satisfied in my own mind that there is no time like the present. I believe the people are more anxious today to know what the Single Tax really is, than ever before; this I think is due to the wide-

spread publicity given our cause in the recent general elections in England.

It is only through organized political action that we can get our views properly before the public; it is only through organized political action that the newspapers will be forced to more widely comment on it; it is only through organized political action that the curiosity of the people will be aroused, and that will stimulate thought.

The idea exists in very many peoples' minds, that, while once upon a time the Single Tax was a very live issue, it is now a dead one, so dead, that so far as this country is concerned, it cannot be brought back to life, and this belief is entertained by some who profess to believe in and accept the Single Tax.

I believe the failure of the Fel's Fund Commission to get a more ready response to its appeal for funds, is mainly due to the lack of thorough organization among the Single Taxers of the country.

I believe this is the reason why it is so hard to get subscribers for Single Tax publications.

This is why so many of our believers associate themselves with the socialist party, since they have lost faith in the democratic party as at present controlled and turn to the socialist party as the only haven of refuge, and this is not to be wondered at, since we had such a spectacle in the last tariff debate in congress, of democratic representatives falling over each other in their efforts to line up with the Aldrich-Cannon combine, like so many hogs, to get their share of tariff swill. Yes, by all means let us have a Single Tax political party, and thereby show the people that the Single Tax is not dead, but very much alive.—PETER VANDERWENDE, Bradford, Pa.

---

OPPOSES THE MOVEMENT, BUT SUGGESTS  
A NAME.

EDITOR SINGLE TAX REVIEW:

The appeal in the March-April REVIEW to Single Taxers in favor of forming a Single Tax party and the invitation to a convention for that purpose, prompts this communication.